



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 17271 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

ARJUN M.N.,
S/O NARAYAN RAO MORE
AGE: 34 YEARS, OCC.:SERVICE IN PRIVATE
R/O. MIG 255, 8TH CROSS,
GOPALA CHALUKYA NAGARA, VTC,
SHIVAMOGGA – 577 205.

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. POORNIMA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY JAYANAGARA TUMAKURU POLICE,
REPRESENTED BY
STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BENGALURU – 560 001.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI B.N.JAGADEESHA, ADDL.SPP)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 438 OF CR.PC (FILED U/S 482
BNSS) PRAYING TO ALLOW THE PETITION AND GRANT
ANTICIPATORY BAIL DIRECTING THE RESPONDENT POLICE TO
RELEASE THE PETITIONER ON BAIL IN THE OF HIS ARREST BY





THEM AS THE PETITIONER HAS APPREHENSION OF ARREST IN THE CASE THAT MAY BE REGISTERED ON THE COMPLAINT OF RUBAN BRIDGE PVT. LTD, OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.NAGAPRASANNA**

ORAL ORDER

Petitioner is before the Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail on an apprehension of arrest.

2. Heard Smt Poornima, learned counsel appearing for petitioner and Sri B N Jagadeesha, learned Additional State Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

3. For an apprehension of arrest, as observed by the Apex Court, at least a complaint must be registered. Mere apprehension would not suffice. In the case at hand, the learned Additional State Public Prosecutor, on instructions, would submit that even as on 16-04-2026, there is no



complaint registered against any person which would drag the petitioner into the web of crime.

In that light, reserving liberty to the petitioner to knock at the doors of the appropriate *fora*, in the event of his apprehension being rational, the petition stands disposed.

Sd/-
(M.NAGAPRASANNA)
JUDGE

BKP
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 14