



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 39803 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. J. RAMESH REDDY
S/O. LATE JAYAPPA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
2. UMESH REDDY
S/O. LATE JAYAPPA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,

BOTH ARE RESIDING AT
JIGANI HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT
PIN-560 105.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SHIVARAJU M K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,





KEMPE GOWDA ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 009.

3. THE ASST. COMMISSIONER,
BENGALURU SOUTH SUB-DIVISION,
KANDAYA BHAVAN,
KEMPE GOWDA ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 009.

4. THE TAHSILDAR,
ANEKAL TALUK, ANEKAL
PIN 562 106.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKAR, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS 2 TO 4 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS DATED 29.10.2025, 30.10.2025 AND 30.10.2025 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONERS BEFORE THE RESPONDENTS 2 TO 4 AND TO DIRECT THEM TO EFFECT KATHA, MUTATION AND RTC PERTAINING TO THE LAND BEARING SY.NO.67/P6 MEASURING 2 ACRES OF NANJAPURA VILLAGE, JIGANI HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK, BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT, BY CONSIDERING THE COMPROMISED DECREE DATED 08.03.2019 PASSED IN O.S. NO.142/2016 VIDE ANNEXURES-E, F AND G AND ETC.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for all the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the respondent revenue authorities, more specifically respondent No.4 - Tahsildar, Anekal Taluk has not acted upon the representations given by the petitioners commencing from Annexures- E to G to enter the name of the petitioners in the revenue records in terms of the final decree drawn in O.S.No.549/2020 on the file of the learned Principal Senior Civil Judge and JMFC at Anekal, which is registered in the office of the Senior Sub-Registrar Anekal.

3. Normally this Court would have imposed costs on respondent No.4 - Tahsildar for not taking steps to enter the name of the petitioners pursuant to a Court order or decree. However there has been lapse on the part of the petitioners



also, inasmuch as the final decree was registered in the office of the Senior Sub-Registrar Anekal on 18.09.2021 and the petitioners have not pursued the matter before the Tahsildar.

Nevertheless the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.4 - Tahsildar, Anekal Taluk to proceed to enter the name of the petitioners in terms of the registered final decree as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned Additional Government Advocate is permitted to file memo of appearance within a period of four weeks from today.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE