



CNR: KAHC010634382025

WP NO. 29651/2025 (LB - BMP)
Connected Cases: WP NO.
38116/2025, WP NO. 38811/2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

[SRI M SUNIL CHOWDARY AND ANOTHER VS. THE CHIEF
COMMISSIONER AND OTHERS]

06.08.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned counsel for GBA submits that she has filed the affidavit of the Chief Commissioner. In terms of said affidavit, she submits that the Khata which has been made out in the name of petitioner represented by Mr.Prashantha Hegde was not proper, and it has been cancelled and the proper authorized representatives of the petitioner have been added in a fresh Khata which has been issued.
2. She further submits that action has been taken against the concerned officers, who had unauthorisedly and illegally issued the Khata.
3. Having gone through the affidavit, it is clear that it is in detail, in that background learned counsel for the GBA was enquired with as to whether the process has been reduced in writing and is available for one and all to verify both for the purposes of acting in terms of the said procedure as also for verification by the concerned Officers of the GBA. She submits that multiple circulars had earlier been issued, she seeks for a week's time to make a submission as to whether all of them in a consolidated manner reflect what has been filed in the affidavit. She submits that some more issues



CNR: KAHC010634382025

- 2 -

WP NO. 29651/2025 (LB - BMP)
Connected Cases: WP NO.
38116/2025, WP NO. 38811/2025

have also been identified which would be addressed by the GBA in the Khata issuance process.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner in WP No.29651/2025 submits that a proposal had been given by them to counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 before the Mediator. Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 submits that, as the authorized representative was travelling, they require two days' time to submit their counter-offer, which would be submitted by 08.08.2026.
5. Learned counsel for respondents No.5 and 6 submits that he is hopeful of a early positive resolution of the dispute between the petitioner and respondents No.5 and 6, on the basis of the counter proposal furnished by respondents No.5 and 6.
6. There are various homeowners who are also present before this Court in person, though not parties to these proceedings, their submission is that all of them are suffering irreparably;
 - i. Firstly, on account of the possession of the apartment not being made available.
 - ii. Secondly, on account of the EMIs to be paid to the concerned Bank.
 - iii. Thirdly, on account of the uncertainty in shifting, they are not able to identify the schools to which their children could be admitted, resulting in further issues.



CNR: KAHC010634382025

- 3 -

WP NO. 29651/2025 (LB - BMP)
Connected Cases: WP NO.
38116/2025, WP NO. 38811/2025

- iv. It is also submitted that several of the home buyers are senior citizens, who have invested their entire life earnings into this apartment.
7. These submissions having been made in the presence of the counsel for the petitioner and respondents No.5 and 6, this Court hopes and believes that the sentiments of the homeowners would be communicated by them to their respective clients, so that they could arrive at an early amicable final settlement between themselves. Since their dispute is resulting in issues for all the home buyers.
8. Re-list on **13.08.2026 at 2.30 p.m.**

(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

SR
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 6