



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

CIVIL PETITION NO. 437 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

S ROJA
W/O YOGESHMURTHY,
AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,
R/AT HAROHALLI VILLAGE,
BILIKERE HOBLI,
HUNSUR TALUK, MYSURU-571103.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. PRITHVI RAJ B N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. YOGESHAMURTHY B C
S/O B. CHELUVIAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/AT BEBI VILLAGE,
CHINAKURULI HOBLI,
PANDAVAPURA TALUK,
MANDYA-571416.

...RESPONDENT

THIS CIVIL PETITION IS FILED UNDER SEC. 24 OF THE
CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE. 1908., PRAYING TO PASS AN ORDER
WITHDRAWING THE CASE i.e., M.C.NO.58/2025 PENDING
BEFORE THE HONBLE SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC,
PANDAVAPURA TO THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SENIOR CIVIL

Digitally signed
by PADMAASHREE
SHEKHAR DESAI
Location: High
Court of
Karnataka



JUDGE, HUNSUR AND TO TRANSFER THE SAME TO THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, AT HUNSUR.

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE P SREE SUDHA

ORAL ORDER

This civil petition is filed by the wife for transfer of M.C.No.58/2025, filed by the husband for restitution of conjugal rights, from the Court of Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, Pandavapura to the Court of Principal Senior Civil Judge, Hunsur.

2. The petitioner/wife has stated that she is residing in Harohalli Village, Hunsur Taluk and their marriage was solemnized in the year 2021 and they were blessed with a girl child in the year 2022. The petitioner/wife is residing with her parents at Hunsuru and she is not working or earning.



3. The petitioner stated that there is no direct bus to Pandavapura and she has to first reach either yelwal or Bilikere, which is situated on the Mysuru-Mangalore Highway, at a distance of 15 kms from her village and from there she has to take another bus to reach Pandavapura and one side journey alone would take 2 to 3 hrs. There is no independent source of income. It is stated that respondent is an agriculturist and he is residing in Pandavapura.

4. Notice was issued to the respondent; but there is no representation on behalf of the respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner further stated that when he appeared before the Family Court, a copy of the petition was also served upon him and he filed a memo to that effect. Therefore, despite having knowledge of the proceedings, he has neither appeared nor made any representation before this Court. Hence, considering the argument of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds it reasonable to transfer M.C.No.58/2025 from



the Court of Senior Civil Judge and JMFC, Pandavapura to the Court of Principal Senior Civil Judge, Hunsur, as it is inconvenient for the petitioner to travel all the way along with a minor child.

Accordingly, the civil petition is ***allowed.***

Sd/-
(P SREE SUDHA)
JUDGE

AG
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 1