



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 37521 OF 2025 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI ABDUL RAHIM
S/O K.ABDUL SALAM,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT.1270, 1ST STAGE, 3RD BLOCK,
MATAKAR LANE, OPP. MASJID E ALI,
H.B.R. LAYOUT, BENGALURU-560045

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. NARAYANSWAMY T. AND NARAYAN BHAT, ADVOCATE
FOR SRI. BASAVARAJA PATEL G. K.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed
by AASEEFA
PARVEEN
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
AND LITERACY (SECONDARY) M.S. BUILDING,
BENGALURU 560 001
REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.
2. THE COMMISSIONER
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
NRUPATHUNGA ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.
3. SAAMAR INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC SCHOOL
UNDER THE MANAGEMENT OF JAMIA



MUHAMMADIYAH EDUCATION SOCIETY,
HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AT MUMBAI-400008.

ALSO AT:
JAMIA MUHAMMADIYAH MANSOORA
UNDER THE MANAGEMENT OF JAMIA
MUHAMMADIYAH
EDUCATION SOCIETY,
HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AT
MUMBAI-400008.

ALSO AT:
THANISANDRA MAIN ROAD,
R.K.HEGDE NAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 077,
REP. ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
MR. KHALID MUSHARRUF,
S/O. R.ABDUL JALEEL.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SUKANYA BALIG B., AGA FOR R1 AND R2;

SRI. V.B. SHIVAKUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND
227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT TO
THE R2 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION MADE BY THE
PETITIONER DATED 28.11.2025 VIDE ANNX-P TO TAKE
SUITABLE ACTION AGAINST THE R3 INTUITION PROVISIONS
UNDER EXISTING LAW OR ANY OTHER LAW PERMITS,
WITHOUT FURTHER LOSS OF TIME.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING
IN B-GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS
UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this writ petition, petitioner has sought for a direction
to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated
28.11.2025 as to take action against respondent No.3-
Institution.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsels appearing
for the parties that the prayer in the above writ petition has
become infructuous, as action has been taken by respondent
No.2 against respondent No.3-Institution.

3. Accordingly, no further orders are required to be
made in this writ petition.

4. The writ petition is dismissed.

**Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

AP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 53