



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND
WRIT PETITION NO. 37761 OF 2025 (S-KSAT)

BETWEEN:

DR. MURALI KRISHNA P V
S/O VISHWANATHA SETTY P.,
AGED 62 YEARS,
RETIRED JOINT DIRECTOR,
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
R/OF NO.1628, 1ST 'A' CROSS,
CHANDRA LAYOUT, BENGALURU 560040.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. DR.MURALI KRISHNA P V – PARTY IN PERSON (ABSENT))

AND:

1. THE COMMISSIONER
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE SERVICES,
AROGYASOUDHA, MAGADI ROAD,
BENGALURU -560023.
2. THE INQUIRY OFFICER,
DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY,
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER,
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE SERVICES,
AROGYA SOUDHA, MAGADI ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560023.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIKAS ROJIPURA, AGA FOR R1 & R2)





THIS PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE INQUIRY AUTHORITY RESPONDENT-2 TO SUMMON THE WITNESSES AS PROVIDED UNDER RULE 11(11)(II) OF THE CCA 1957, AND ALSO TO ISSUE ORDER TO THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY TO PRODUCE THE DOCUMENTS IN THE CUSTODY OF THE GOVERNMENT AS REQUESTED BY THE APPLICANT IN UNDER RULE 11(11)(IV) OF THE CCA 1957 AS IN ANNEXURE-A3 OF KSAT. AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT
AND
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.G.PANDIT)

This Court by order dated 20.02.2026, directed the Registry to inform the party-in-person by messaging to his mobile indicating the date of 'Preliminary Hearing' as 25.02.2006.

2. The office note would indicate that as directed, the party-in-person was informed over phone and also



message was sent indicating the date of 'Preliminary Hearing' as 25.02.2006.

3. The party-in-person absent. The petitioner is before this Court aggrieved by order dated 17.11.2025 in Application No.4700/2025 passed by the Karnataka State Administrative Tribunal at Bengaluru (for short, 'the Tribunal') rejecting the prayer of the petitioner for stay of proceedings of the enquiry case No.DPG/BEM.NA/06/2021-22.

4. Learned Additional Government Advocate on instruction would submit that before the Enquiry Officer, enquiry has already commenced and evidence of the Disciplinary Authority is completed and it is at the stage of evidence of the DGO i.e., petitioner herein.

5. No ground is made out to stay the enquiry. It is open for the petitioner to lead evidence before the Enquiry Officer and also the petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate application to summon any witness, which



shall be considered by the Enquiry Officer in accordance with law.

6. With the above, writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(S.G.PANDIT)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE

NC
CT:bms
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 35