



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 37416 OF 2025 (KLR-LG)

BETWEEN:

SRI.ANJANAMURTHY
S/O LATE PUTTAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
R/A HONNENAHALLI VILLAGE,
SOMPURA HOBLI,
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 111.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.BASANAGOUDA S.S., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI.VIRUPAKSHAIAH P.H., ADVOCATE)

AND:



1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M.S. BUILDING,
BENGALURU 560 001.
2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BEERASANDRA VILLAGE,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 110.



3. THE TAHSILDHAR
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
NELAMANGALA - 562 123.
4. THE LAND GRANT COMMITTEE
FOR GRANT OF LANDS TO
UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPANTS OF
GOVERNMENT LANDS,
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
NELAMANGALA - 562 123.
BY ITS CHAIRMAN.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.SESHU V, HCGP)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT AUTHORITIES TO CONSIDER AND DISPOSE OF THE APPLICATION DATED 28.12.1998 VIDE ANNEXURE -A AND REPRESENTATIONS DATED 6.12.2014, 27.12.2021 AND 21.01.2023 VIDE ANNEXURE-E1 TO E3 FILED BY THE PETITIONER FOR REGULARIZATION OF THE UNAUTHORIZED OCCUPATION OF THE LAND BEARING SY.NO.31 MEASURING TO AN EXTENT OF 2 ACRES SITUATED AT HONNENAHALLI VILLAGE, SOMPURA HOBLI, NELAMANGALA TALUK, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT EXPEDITIOUSLY AND ETC.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

The grievance of the petitioner is that his father filed application in Form No.53 seeking regularization of unauthorised occupation of land in Sy.No.31 of Honnenahalli Village, Sompura Hobli, Nelamangala Taluk and Bengaluru Rural District to an extent of 1 acre 23 guntas has not been considered till date. The petitioner's father had submitted multiple representations to consider his application submitted in Form No.53. Therefore, the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents to consider the representations at Annexures- E1 to E3 and till consideration, direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petition schedule properties.

2. Having regard to the contentions raised in the writ petition and submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that



respondent No.3-Tahsildar was duty bound to place the application filed by the petitioner before the 'Committee for Regularization of Unauthorized Occupation' and the Committee was also duty bound to consider the application and pass necessary orders.

3. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.3-Tahsildar, Nelamangala Taluk, to verify from the records as to whether such application filed by the petitioner's father at Annexure-A dated 28.12.1998 is pending consideration. If it is found that such application was indeed filed by the petitioner's father and the application is yet to be considered, the Tahsildar is directed to place before the Committee for regularisation of unauthorised occupation, the applications along with his recommendation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the Committee shall consider the application and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as expeditiously



NC: 2026:KHC:11477
WP No. 37416 of 2025

as possible and at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

GPG
List No.: 1 SI No.: 32