



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL
REVIEW PETITION NO.540/2025

BETWEEN:

ARUN KUMAR K.J.
S/O LATE JAVANEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
R/AT. KARLE - 573120
HASSAN TALUK & DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BASAVARAJU M.C. ADV.,)

AND:

THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER
AND DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY
KSRTC, CHIKKAMAGALURU DIVISION
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577101.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SMT. H.R. RENUKA, ADV.,)

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 114
R/W ORDER 47 RULE 1 OF CPC 1908, PRAYING TO ALLOW
THIS PETITION AND REVIEW THE ORDER DATED 09.07.2024
IN W.A. NO.296/2022 (L-KSRTC) IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE
AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:





CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)

This review petition is filed seeking to review the order dated 09.07.2024 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.296/2022 (L-KSRTC).

2. Heard Sri.Basavaraju M.C., learned counsel for the petitioner and Smt.H.R.Renuka, learned counsel for the respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any error in the order under review and also unable make out any grounds to allow the review petition.

4. We have perused the grounds urged in the review petition and the order under review.

5. We do not find any error apparent on the face of the record to review the judgment dated 09.07.2024



**NC: 2026:KHC:11886-DB
R.P. No.540/2025**

passed in W.A.No.296/2022. Hence, the review petition is devoid of merits and accordingly the same is hereby ***dismissed.***

**Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE**

BSR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 24