



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 36259 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI.VENKATASWAMY REDDY,
S/O LATE MUNISWAMY REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,
R/AT NO.498,
DODDANAGAMANGALA VILLAGE,
KONAPPANA AGRAHARA POST,
BENGALURU 560 100.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. P.N. NANJA REDDY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,
K.G.ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 009.

2. THE TAHASILDAR
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
KANDHAYA BHAVANA,
K.G.ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 009.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKAR, AGA)





THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER FOR REGULARIZATION OF HIS UNAUTHORIZED CULTIVATION IN THE LAND BEARING SY.NO.2 MEASURING 10 GUNTAS SITUATED AT DODDANAGAMANGALA VILLAGE, BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK BY CONSIDERING THE REPRESENTATIONS AT ANNEXURE-B DATED 30.04.1999, ANNEXURE-C DATED 25.02.2010 AND ANNEXURE-D DATED 06.03.2025 RESPECTIVELY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

The grievance of the petitioner is that he has filed application in Form No.53 seeking regularization of unauthorised occupation of land in Sy.No.2 of Doddanagamangala Village, Bangalore South Taluk to an extent



of 10 guntas on 30.04.1999 however, the same has not been considered till date and no orders are passed till date. The petitioner had submitted multiple representations to consider his application submitted in Form No.53. Therefore, the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents to consider the representations at Annexures-B, C and D and till consideration, direct the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petition schedule properties.

2. Having regard to the contentions raised in the writ petition and submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that respondent No.2-Tahsildar was duty bound to place the application filed by the petitioner before the 'Committee for Regularization of Unauthorized Occupation' and the Committee was also duty bound to consider the application and pass necessary orders.

3. The writ petition stands ***disposed of*** with a specific direction to respondent No.2-Tahsildar, Bangalore South Taluk, to verify from the records as to whether such application filed by the petitioner at Annexure-A dated 30.04.1999 is pending



consideration. If it is found that such application was indeed filed by the petitioner and the application is yet to be considered, the Tahsildar is directed to place before the Committee for regularisation of unauthorised occupation, the applications along with his recommendation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the Committee shall consider the application and pass necessary orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and at any rate within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE