



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026**

**PRESENT**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH**

**WRIT APPEAL NO. 1824 OF 2025 (KLR-LG)**

**BETWEEN:**

MANJEGOWDA  
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,  
S/O. LATE NANJEGOWDA,  
R/AT CHANNENAHALLI VILLAGE,  
KIKERI HOBLI,  
K.R. PET TALUK,  
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. SHIVARAMU H.C., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
MANDYA DISTRICT,  
MANDYA-571 426
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER  
PANDAVAPURA SUB-DIVISION,  
PANDAVAPURA,  
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426
3. THE THASILDAR  
K.R. PET TALUK,  
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426





4. SMT. BHAGYA  
MAJOR,  
W/O. LATE RUDREGOWDA,
5. SMT. ANASUYA  
MAJOR,  
W/O. KALLEGOWDA,
6. SMT. KANTHAMMA  
MAJOR,  
W/O. KRISHNEGOWDA,
7. SIDDEGOWDA  
MAJOR,  
S/O. LATE BASAVEGOWDA,
8. AMASIGOWDA  
MAJOR,  
S/O. THIMMEGOWDA,
9. RAJEGOWDA  
MAJOR,  
S/O. LATE NANJEGOWDA,
10. PUTTARAJU  
MAJOR,  
S/O. LATE BOREGOWDA,
11. C. B. SHIVARAJU  
MAJOR,  
S/O. BASAVARAJU,
12. S. ARUN  
MAJOR,  
S/O. LATE C. R. SHIVEGOWDA,
13. RAJEGOWDA  
MAJOR,



S/O. LATE NAGEGOWDA,

14. RAMEGOWDA  
MAJOR,  
S/O. SANNANANJEGOWDA,

RESPONDENTS NO. 4 TO 14  
ARE RESIDENTS OF  
CHANNENAHALLI VILLAGE,  
KIKERI HOBLI,  
K.R. PET TALUK,  
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.MOHAMMAD JAFFAR SHAH, AGA FOR R.1 TO 3)

THIS WRIT APPEAL FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA  
HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO (i) CALL FOR RECORDS (ii) SET  
ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15/10/2024 PASSED BY THIS  
LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE IN WP NO.11078/2020 (iii)ALLOW  
THE WRIT PETITION CONSEQUENTLY ALLOW THE WRIT  
APPEAL.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,  
THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH  
and  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S RACHAIAH



**ORAL JUDGMENT**

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH)

The present intra Court appeal has been filed impugning the judgment and order dated 15.10.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No.11078/2020.

2. The parties are referred to as per their ranking before the Writ Court, for the sake of convenience.

3. The petitioner claims to be in unauthorized occupation of land to an extent of 2 acres 4 guntas in Sy.No.8 of Channenahalli, Kilkeri Hobli, K.R.Pet Taluk. The petitioner challenged the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner, whereby the Deputy Commissioner had modified the order of the Assistant Commissioner and disposed of the appeal by directing the respondents to reserve an extent of 1 acre 8 guntas for Ashraya Scheme.

4. The petitioner seeks regularization of the entire land which is allegedly in his unauthorized occupation i.e.,



2 acres and 4 guntas. Out of the said land, 1 acre and 8 guntas has been reserved for Ashraya Scheme. The land in question is a Government land, and it is for the Government to utilize the land for public purposes. The petitioner does not have any legal or fundamental right to seek regularization of his unauthorized occupation. The Government has taken a decision to reserve 1 acre and 8 guntas of land for Ashraya Scheme.

5. We do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned judgment and order passed by the learned Single Judge. There is no merit and substance in this appeal. Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed.

**Sd/-  
(D K SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Sd/-  
(S RACHAIAH)  
JUDGE**