



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 34235 OF 2025 (LA-KIADB)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. B. M. RADHAMANI
D/O S M MUNIVENKATAPPA,
W/O M VIJAYAGOPAL,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.1954/12,
SOUTH END 'D' CROSS,
9TH BLOCK, JAYANAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 069

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SRIDHAR G., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREA
DEVELOPMENT BOARD
EAST WING, KHANIJA BHAVAN,
4TH AND 5TH FLOOR, RACECOURSE ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001
REPRESENTED BY ITS
SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER
2. M/S. BENGALURU METRO RAIL CORPORATION LTD
A PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY
HAVING OFFICE AT BMTC COMPLEX,



3RD FLOOR, KH ROAD,
SHANTHINAGAR,
BANGALORE-560 027
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS
M/S. UMA SOUMYA BUILDERS PVT LTD),
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR

3. M/S. UMA ESTATES AND INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
A PRIVATE LIMITED COMPANY
HAVING OFFICE AT NO.1,
UMA ADMIRALITY,
BANNERGHATTA ROAD,
HDFC BANK BUILDING,
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR
MR. G. SRIDHAR REDDY,
4. MR. G. SRIDHAR REDDY
MAJOR
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF
M/S. UMA SOUMYA BUILDERS PVT LTD
5. MRS. UMA REDDY
MAJOR
W/O G. SRIDHAR REDDY

BOTH RESIDING AT
NO.8-2-703/A/6/B,
ROAD NO.12, BANJARA HILLS,
HYDERABAD-500 034

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. H.L. PRADEEP KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI N.N. HARISH, ADVOCATE FOR R2;
SRI AJAY SHANKAR RAO, ADVOCATE FOR R3 TO R5)



THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE AGREEMENT EXECUTED BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT NO.1 AND RESPONDENT NO.3 TOWARDS LAND ACQUISITION AND COMPENSATION PAYMENT WHICH IS PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE G AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed seeking for setting aside of the agreement executed between respondents 1 and 3 as well as another agreement between respondents 1 and 5. Petitioner has also sought for issuance of writ of mandamus to direct respondents 1 and 2 to pay compensation of Rs.40,07,302/- with interest.

2. During the pendency of the matter, a joint memo is filed which reads as hereunder:

"The petitioner respectfully submits that during the pendency of the above Writ Petition, the dispute between the Petitioner and Respondent nos. 3 to 5 has been amicably settled in respect of the subject



matter of acquisition involved in the present Writ Petition. Pursuant to the said settlement, a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- (rupees Six Lakhs only) has been paid to the Petitioner through RTGS-HDFCR52026032084245296 on 20.03.2026 towards full and final settlement, and the Petitioner submits that all past dues of the Petitioner stand fully settled with Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and the said Respondents acknowledge and accept the same, and no further claims survive.

In view of the above settlement, the Petitioner does not wish to prosecute the above Writ Petition and therefore prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit withdrawal of the Writ petition as settled between the parties, in the interest of justice and equity."

3. In light of the same, the petition is ***disposed of*** as not calling for adjudication while recording the terms of the joint memo.

**Sd/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE**

VP