



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 34399 OF 2024 (GM-DRT)

BETWEEN:

RAJITH RUDRA T P G

S/O GANESH PRASAD T P R

AGE ABOUT 37YEARS

SRI SAI NILAYA

2ND FLOOR 2NDMAIN

AECS LAYOUT

SANJAYA NAGARA

RMV 2ND STAGE

BENGALURU - 560 094

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. KAVITHA N., ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

AND:

SRI SEETHARAGHAVA SOUHARDA

SAHAKARA BANK NIYAMITHA

REG UNDER CO OP ACT

REP BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER



AUTHORIZED OFFICER
KADURU BRANCH
K V COLONY, KADURU
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 548

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. S. LAKSHMINARAYANA, ADVOCATE)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH
THE E-AUCTION SALE NOTICE BEARING
REF.NO.50/SSSSBN/2024-25 DTD. 16.11.2024 ISSUED BY
THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER, SRI SEETHARAGHAVA
SOUHARDA SAHAKARA BANK NIYAMITA, KADUR BRANCH
VIDE ANNEX-A (IN SO FAR AS PETITIONER CONCERN).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE
THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition is filed seeking the following prayers:

"a). Quash the E-Auction Sale Notice bearing Ref No.50/SSSSBN/2024-25 dated 16/11/2024 issued by the Authorized Officer, Sri Seetharaghava Souharda Sahakara Bank Niyamita, Kadur Branch vide "ANNEXURE-A". (In so far as Petitioners concern)

b). Issue directions to the Respondent Bank not to take physical possession without following due process of Law.

c). Issue such other orders or direction/s as may be deemed fit to grant, including cost of the case, in the view of facts and circumstances of this above case in the interest of justice and equity."

2. On 23.03.2026, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption for dismissal' on 24.03.2026. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.



3. Leaned counsel appearing for the respondent/Bank submits that the petitioner has already filed S.A. No.436/2024 before the Debt Recovery Tribunal and the same is posted for orders on 30.04.2026. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner has already availed an effective alternative remedy and therefore, the present writ petition cannot be entertained by this Court.

4. In that view of the matter, this Court is passing the following:

ORDER

- i. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed.***
- ii. All pending I.As, if any, in the writ petition shall stand closed.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

SMC
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 9