



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 33787 OF 2025 (LR)

BETWEEN:

SRI P C NARAYANAREDDY
S/O. VENKATAREDDY,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/AT HONNAVARA VILLAGE,
MADHURE HOBLI, DODDABALLAPURA TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-561203.
PERMANENTLY R/A NO. 2-82, KARADU,
POLERAMMARALLA CENTRE,
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH -523101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. R.S.RAVI., SR. ADVCOATE FOR
SRI. D.T. NANJESH GOWDA., ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S.BUILDING,
BENGALURU 560001.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
DODDABALLAPURA SUB DIVISION,
DODDABALLAPURA,



BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT – 561203.

3. THE TAHASILDAR,
DODDABALLAPURA TALUK,
DODDABALLAPURA,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 561203

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR., AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DTD-14.10.1997 IN CASE IN NO. LRF-SR-12-96-97 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT VIDE ANNEXURE-D AND ISSUE ANY SUCH OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION WHICH THE HON'BLE COURT DEEMS FIT UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

This writ petition has been filed aggrieved by the order passed by the Assistant Commissioner in proceedings bearing No.LRF:SR:12:96-97 dated 14.10.2017 at Annexure-D.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is a case where the impugned order of forfeiture has been passed by the Assistant Commissioner without notice to the petitioner. It is further submitted that under similar circumstances, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.No.7821/2021 has passed an order dated 16.08.2021 remanding the matter back to the Assistant Commissioner for fresh consideration after affording an opportunity of hearing to the aggrieved person.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate points out from the impugned order that notice was indeed issued to the petitioner and in spite of notice having been issued, the petitioner did not appear before the Assistant Commissioner.

4. Admittedly, as on the date of the Karnataka Land Reforms (Amendment) Ordinance, 2020, no proceedings were pending before any court/authority.



5. This Court had several occasions to consider such cases, where writ petitions are filed long after the provisions contained in Sections 79A, 79B and 79C were omitted from the statute book in terms of the Karnataka Land Reforms (Second Amendment) Act, 2020. It is the consistent opinion of this Court that if at any rate, the Assistant Commissioner, after forfeiting the land has not disposed of the same in accordance with law then the benefit of the saving clause contained in Section 12 of the Amending Act is required to be given to such petitioners. The Assistant Commissioner is therefore, required to ascertain, whether the declared excess lands or forfeited lands still remain with the State Government or has been granted to third parties. If the lands have been granted to third party, then sub-section(1) of Section 12 of the amending Act will apply to say that the proceedings have reached finality. Or otherwise, sub-section (2) of Section 12 of the Amending Act will apply and all further



proceedings shall be declared as abated by the Assistant Commissioner.

6. Having considered the submission of the learned Counsels and on perusing the judgment of the co-ordinate Bench in W.P.No.7821/2021, this Court finds that facts and circumstances in both these matters are quite similar and therefore, the benefit of the decision of the co-ordinate bench should also enure to the petitioner herein.

7. Accordingly, this Court proceeds to pass the following:

ORDER

- i) The writ petition is ***disposed of***.
- ii) The matter is remanded back to the respondent-Assistant Commissioner to consider the case of the petitioner including the consequences of the subsequent amendment brought to the provisions of Sections 79-A and 79-B of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act in Karnataka Amendment No.56 of 2020.



- iii) If revenue entries have been altered pursuant to the impugned order dated 14.10.1997, the same shall be restored in favour of the petitioner.
- iv) The petitioner shall appear before the respondent-Assistant Commissioner on **16.03.2026**, without waiting for further notice from the Assistant Commissioner.

Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

JT/-
CT: JL