



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 08TH DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.32774 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. PRAMODA DEVI WADIYAR
W/O LATE SRIKANTADATTA NARASIMHA
RAJA WADIYAR,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
R/AT F-1, FORT MOHALLA,
THE PALACE,
MYSURU - 570 001.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. R.S. RAVI, SENIOR COUNSEL A/W
SRI. AKARSH KUMAR GOWDA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSURU DISTRICT,
GIRIBHOVI PALYA,
SIDDARTHA NAGAR,
MYSURU-570 011.





4. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
MYSURU SUB-DIVISION,
GIRIBHOVI PALYA,
SIDDARTHA NAGAR,
MYSURU - 570 011.
5. THE TAHSILDAR
MYSURU TALUK,
MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA COMPLEX,
NAZARBAD,
MYSURU - 570 010.
6. THE MYSURU DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
REP. BY ITS COMMISSIONER,
JLB ROAD, CHAMARAJA PURAM
MYSURU - 570 005.
7. THE KARNATAKA EXHIBITION AUTHORITY
REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
TANK BAND ROAD,
R. GOGET ROAD, INDIRA NAGAR.
MYSURU - 570 010.
8. THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA,
REP. BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. PRATHIMA HONNAPUR, AAG A/W
SRI. SPOORTHY HEGDE N., HCGP FOR R1 TO R5, R7 AND R8;
SRI. T.P. VIVEKANANDA, ADVOCATE FOR R6)



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ENTRY OF THE RESPONDENT NO.8 IN COLUMN NO. 11 OF THE RTC IN RESPECT OF THE LAND BEARING SURVEY NO.1 OF MYSURU VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, MYSURU VIDE ANNEXURE-L; DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS 3 AND 4 TO MUTATE THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER TO AN EXTENT OF 145.13 ACRES IN SURVEY NO.1 OF MYSURU VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, MYSURU BY CONSIDERING THE REPRESENTATION DATED 31ST JULY, 2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-K; DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NO.1 TO CONSIDER THE OFFER MADE IN LETTER DATED 04TH JULY, 2025 OF THE PETITIONER FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE DEED OF LICENSE VIDE ANNEXURE-J; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this petition the petitioner is challenging the entry of the respondent No.8 in Column No.11 of the RTC in respect of the land bearing Survey No.1 of Mysuru Village, Kasaba Hobli, Mysuru in RTC extract produced at Annexure-L; *inter alia* sought for a direction to the respondents 3 and 4 to mutate the name of the petitioner to an extent of 145.13 acres in Survey



No.1 of Mysuru Village, Kasaba Hobli, Mysuru by considering the representation dated 31st July, 2025 (Annexure-K).

2. Heard Sri. R.S. Ravi, learned Senior Counsel along with Sri. Akarsh Kumar Gowda, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner; Smt. Prathima Honnapur, learned Additional Advocate General along with Sri. Spoorthy Hegde N., learned High Court Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 to 5 and 7 and 8; and Sri. T.P. Vivekananda, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.6.

3. Smt. Prathima Honnapur, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State contended that the writ petition is not maintainable as the petitioner has to exhaust remedy under Section 136(2) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964.

4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will approach the competent authority within a period of one month.

5. Taking into consideration the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondent-



State, reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority within a period of one month, writ petition is ***disposed of.***

6. All the contentions of the parties are kept open. It is made clear that the respondents shall not precipitate the matter against the petitioner for a period of one month from the date of receipt of this order.

7. It is also made clear that the time spent by the petitioner before this Court shall be condoned under Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

In view of disposal of the petition, pending applications does not survive for consideration.

**Sd/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 6