



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 23<sup>RD</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 27827 OF 2023 (GM-DRT)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. MR. C.M. MUPASIL SADDAM  
S/O. C.M. IBRAHIM SADDAM,  
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
2. MRS. SEEMA BANU  
W/O. C.M. MUPASIL SADDAM,

BOTH ARE R/AT. MAQBUL MANZIL,  
2<sup>ND</sup> CROSS,  
EKINSA COLONY, TARIKERE ROAD,  
BHADHRAVATHI,  
SHIVMOGGA DISTRICT-577 301.

Digitally signed  
by SUVARNA T  
Location: HIGH  
COURT OF  
KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. YOGEENDRA ACHAR. B, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

UNION BANK OF INDIA,  
B.H. ROAD,



BHADRAVATHI BRANCH,  
BHADRAVATHI,  
SHIVMOGGA DISTRICT 577301,  
REP BY THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI. VIGNESH SHETTY, ADVOCATE)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENT BANK TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DTD 02/05/2023 VIDE ANNEXURE-F GIVEN BY THE PETITIONER FOR ONE TIME SETTLEMENT OF THE LOAN LIABILITY AS DIRECTED BY THIS HIGH COURT IN WP 34329-331/2016 (GM-RES) VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



**ORAL ORDER**

The present writ petition is filed seeking the following prayer:

***"Wherefore the petitioners pray that this Hon'ble Court pleased to:***

- (a) Direct the Respondent Bank to consider the representation dated 02.05.2023 vide annexure-F given by the petitioner for one time settlement of the loan liability as directed by this High Court in WP:34329-331/2016 (GM-RES) vide ANNEXURE-A.***
- (b) Direct the Respondent Bank to redeliver (Restore) the property to the petitioners which the Respondent Bank has taken physical possession vide order dated 17.07.2017 passed by the District Magistrate Shivamoga District in SMGDC.MAG(3) SARFAESI:CR/004/17-18(66705) vide annexure-G.***
- (c) And grant such other order or direction as this Court deems fit to grant under the circumstances of the case in the interest of justice and equity."***

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent/bank submits that in this writ petition, the petitioners have questioned the sale notice and thereafter, another sale notice was issued and the bank had conducted the auction. Peitioner



assailed the same before the Debt Recovery Tribunal by filing SA.No.301/2025 and the same is pending consideration.

4. In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent/bank, nothing survives for consideration in this writ petition. Hence, this Court is passing the following:

**ORDER**

- i. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed***.
- ii. All I.As., in the writ petition shall stand closed.

**SD/-**

**(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)**

**JUDGE**