



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1197 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

SRI.M.N.BYREGOWDA
S/O LATE MUDDABYRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
SECRETARY,
MUDDABYRAPPA EDUCATION SOCIETY R
NO. 113, 5TH CROSS,
GRUHALAKSHMI LAYOUT,
NELAGADARANAHALLI,
NAGASANDRA POST,
BENGALURU- 560 073.

...COMPLAINANT

Digitally signed
by JAI JYOTHI J
Location: HIGH COURT OF
KARNATAKA (BY SRI. SRIKANTH M P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. HANUMANTHARAYA DASANNA
DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
SCHOOL EDUCATION AND
LITERACY DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE NORTH DISTRICT,
K G ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 002.



2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
SCHOOL EDUCATION AND
LITERACY DEPARTMENT,
M S BUILDING,
DR AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BANGALORE- 560 001.

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI. KAMARUZ KHAN, AGA FOR R2;
R1 IS SERVED)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971, BY THE COMPLAINANT,
WHEREIN PRAYS THAT THE HONBLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED
TO INITIATE APPROPRIATE ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED
FOR THE NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDER DATED 12.08.2024
PASSED ON W.P.NO.17354/2024 (EDN-RES) BY THIS HON'BLE
COURT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
&
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN)

Heard the learned counsel appearing on both sides.

2. The learned AGA appearing for respondent No.2 has
filed affidavits producing endorsements issued to the
complainant.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the endorsements are in violation of the statutory provisions as well as the second proviso to Rule 5 of the Karnataka Educational Institutions (Classification, Regulation and Prescription of Curricula etc.) Rules, 1995.

4. Having considered the contentions advanced, we are of the opinion that said contentions cannot be decided in this proceeding and are to be addressed in a challenge as against the endorsement in appropriate proceedings.

5. The contempt of Court case is accordingly closed, leaving open the contentions of the parties to be agitated in appropriate proceedings.

**Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(TARA VITASTA GANJU)
JUDGE**

SS
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 40