



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

CIVIL MISC. PETITION NO. 567 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

M/S MAHAPAVANA HOMES INDIA PVT. LTD.,
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE PROVISION
OF COMPANIES ACT-1956,
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT, NO. 6 AND 7,
1ST FLOOR, KHATA NO. 3660/10,
M.A. PRAKASH LAYOUT, SCOUT CAMP ROAD,
NEAR RAILWAY STATION,
DODDABALLAPURA TOWN,
DODDABALLAPURA TALUK,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT- 561203
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR
SRI.M. MALLESH

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ANANDA H C., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI K GANGAPPA
S/O. K.SHIVARAMAIHA





AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
R/AT- NO.24, PUTTAPANA COMPOUND,
3RD MAIN, 4TH CROSS,
ASHWATHNAGARA,
SANJAYA NAGARA POST,
BANAGLORE-94.

2. SRI. PANDIT HARISH
S/O. LATE. PANDIT SHIVARAMAKRISHNAIHA
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS
R/AT- TEMPLE STREET,
PAMUR VILLAGE AND MANDAL AND POST
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT -52310
3. SRI. GADDAM VENKATANARAYANAREDDY
S/O. ALLURALAH
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
R/AT- SATHYANARAYANAPURAM PAMUR VILLAGE
AND MANDAL AND POST
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT – 523108
4. SRI. POKA VENKATESHWARALU
S/O. PEDDA CHALAMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
R/AT-, SATHYANARAYANAPURAM PAMUR VILLAGE
AND MANDAL AND POST
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT - 523108



5. SRI. AKUPATHI RAMANAIAHA
S/O. LAKSHMAIAHA
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
R/AT- ANKALAMMA STREET,
PAMUR VILLAGE AND MANDAL AND POST
PRAKASHAM DISTRICT. PIN-523108.

...RESPONDENTS

THIS CMP IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11(6) OF ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT, 1996, PRAYING TO APPOINT AN ARBITRATOR TO REDRESS THE EXISTING DISPUTE BETWEEN THE PETITIONERS AND RESPONDENT AS PER SEC.11(6) OF ARBITRATION AND CONCILIATION ACT 1996, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY AS PER CLAUSE 5 OF LIMITATION ACT OF MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WHICH IS AT ANNEXURE - D, DATED 6.07.2020.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

ORAL ORDER

The petition was filed on 25.09.2025. Office has raised objections. Despite granting sufficient opportunity, the petitioner did not comply with the office objections.



2. Today, the matter is listed for fifth time for compliance of office objections. Case called twice. None appears to the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in complying with the office objections.

3. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

The Civil Miscellaneous petition is
***dismissed for non-compliance of office
objections.***

**Sd/-
(ASHOK S.KINAGI)
JUDGE**

SKS
CT:KHV