



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 29792 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. BYLAPPA
SON OF LATE CHANNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
2. SRI. THIMMAPPA
SON OF LATE CHANNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
3. SMT. JYOTHI,
DAUGHTER OF LATE VENKATACHALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
4. SRI. SHIVARAJA,
SON OF LATE VENKATACHALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
5. SRI. RAVI,
SON OF LATE VENKATACHALAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,
6. SMT. LAKSHMAMMA,
WIFE OF LATE CHINNAPPA,
DAUGHTER OF LATE CHANNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.83,
TARAHUNISE VILLAGE,
JALA HOBLI, DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.





7. SMT. BHAGYAMMA @
SOWBHAGYAMMA,
WIFE OF SRI. NARAYANAPPA,
DAUGHTER OF LATE CHANNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,

PETITIONERS NO.1 TO 5 & 7 ARE
RESIDING AT NO.63/2,
BYRASETTIHALLI VILLAGE,
RAILWAY GOLLAHALLI POST,
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. NAGAIHAH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DODDABALLAPURA,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.
2. THE TAHASILDAR,
NELAMANGALA TALUK,
NELAMANGALA,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR, AGA)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT ORDER OR DIRECTION DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONERS REPRESENTATION DT.25.08.2025 AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO WRITE THE RTC IN THE NAME OF SMT.MUNIYAMMA, THE PETITIONERS MOTHER AND THEN TRANSFER INTO THE NAMES OF THE PETITIONERS HEREIN BEING HER LEGAL HEIRS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 11.02.2026 the following order was passed:

"Finally, two weeks time is granted to the petitioners for compliance of office objections, failing which, the writ petition shall be listed on 25.02.2026 for dismissal."

2. Office objections have not been complied with.

3. Consequently, the writ petition stands

dismissed for non-compliance of office objections.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**