



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 22ND DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 29911 OF 2024 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT JYOTHI J
W/O SRI. RAJEEV R.M,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
R/O MATHRU SHREE NILAYA,
B.M.ROAD, NEAR PALLAVI PETROL BUNK,
KANAKAPURA-562 159
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GANAPATHI.S. SHASTRI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
M.S.BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.
2. COMMISSIONER OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
PALACE ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.
3. JOINT DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
REGIONAL OFFICE,
GANDHINAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 009.
4. THE RURAL EDUCATION SOCIETY
KANAKAPURA-562 117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
5. PRINCIPAL
THE RURAL COLLEGE





KANAKAPURA-562117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. G. RAMESH NAIK, AGA FOR R-1 TO R-3
SRI. CHANDRAKANTH.R. GOULAY, ADVOCATE FOR R-4 & R-5)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DTD. 28.03.2023 PASSED BY THE R-1 IN NO. ED 160 UPC 2022 (ANNX-D) AND THE AMENDED ORDER DTD. 07.12.2023 PASSED BY THE R-1 IN ED 160 UPC 2022 (E) (ANNX-F).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, petitioner seeks for the following reliefs:-

“(i) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ/ order quashing the order dated: 28.03.2023 passed by the respondent No.1 in No. ED 160 UPC 2022 (Annexure- “D”) and the amended order dated: 07.12.2023 passed by the respondent No.1 ED 160 UPC 2022 (Annexure- “F”).

(ii) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularise the service of petitioner in the post of Kannada Subject in respondent No.4 college.

(iii) Issue any appropriate writ, order or direction in the Circumstances of the case including an order as to costs to meet the ends of justice.”



2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents and perused the material on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press prayer No.(i). The said submission is placed on record. Accordingly, prayer No.(i) is dismissed as not pressed.

4. It is the grievance of the petitioner that her representations at Annexures-K and L both dated 07.10.2024 submitted to the respondents has not been considered so far by them nor any order has been passed on the same. Under these circumstances, petitioner is before this Court by way of the present petition.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that if reasonable time is given, they would consider and pass necessary orders on the said applications/representations.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and rival submissions, respondents are hereby directed to address the grievances of the petitioner and consider her representations at Annexures-K and L both dated 07.10.2024 and take appropriate decision / pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a



**NC: 2026:KHC:22081
WP No. 29911 of 2024**

period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In addition thereto, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to file fresh representation.

7. With the aforesaid directions, petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Srl.