



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO.26065 OF 2023 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI M H DODDAIAH
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
S/O HANUMANTHAPPA
COMPUTER OPERATOR
TUMAKURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
TUMAKURU – 572 101.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MUKKANNAPPA S.B, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPT
M S BUILDING
DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE - 560 001.
2. THE DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
9TH FLOOR, V V TOWER
DR B R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE – 560 001.
(AMENDED CARRIED OUT AS
PER THE ORDER DATED 03.03.2026)
3. THE COMMISSIONER
TUMAKURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
TUMAKURU - 572 101

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. G. RAMESH NAIK, AGA FOR R-1 & R-2;
SRI. R. SUBRAMANYA, ADVOCATE FOR R-3)



THIS W.P IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE WRITTEN REPRESENTATION DATED 06.04.2022 FILED BY THE PETITIONER AT ANNEXURE-E WHICH WAS FORWARDED BY THE R3 ON 11.05.2022 TO THE R2 AT ANNEXURE-F BEARING NO.TMP/CR-01/2021/22 WITH ALL RELEVANT RECORDS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR *PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP*, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

“a) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the written representation dated 06.04.2022 filed by the petitioner at Annexure-E which was forwarded by the 3rd Respondent on 11.05.2022 to the 2nd Respondent at Annexure-F bearing No.TMP/EST/CR-01/2021-22 with all relevant records, under the facts and circumstances of the case; and

b) Issue any other appropriate writ, order or orders or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit to grant under the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.”

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned AGA for respondent Nos.1 and 2 and learned counsel for respondent No.3 and perused the material on record.

3. It is the grievance of the petitioner that his representation at Annexure – E dated 06.04.2022 submitted to the respondent No.3 has not been considered so far by respondent



No.3 nor any order has been passed on the same. Under these circumstances, the petitioner is before this Court by way of present petition.

4. Per contra, learned AGA for respondent Nos.1 and 2 and learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that if reasonable time is given, the respondents would consider and pass necessary order on the said representation.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and rival submissions, the concerned respondent is hereby directed to address the grievances of the petitioner and consider his representation at Annexure – E dated 06.04.2022 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Subject to the aforesaid observations and directions, the petition stands ***disposed of.***

Sd/-
(S.R.KRISHNA KUMAR)
JUDGE