



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 27539 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SRI.SYED MUJAHID
S/O SRI. SYED HASHAM,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.49, MOORE ROAD
FRAZER TOWN
BENGALURU - 560005

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SYED MUJAHID, ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

AND:

1. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
(EAST) ZONE,
BRUHATH BANGALOREE MAHANAGARA PALIKE
MAYOHALL,
BENGALURU - 560005.
2. ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER
(MARUTHI SEVA NAGAR) SUB DIVISION,
BRUHATH BANGALORE MAHANAGARA PALIKE,
BENGALURU - 560033.
3. M/S INDIA FINANCIAL ASSOCIATION OF SDA
RESIDING AT NO.12, HAI STREET,
COOK TOWN
BENGALURU - 560005

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.B.S.KARTHIKEYAN.,ADVOCATE)

Digitally
signed by
GEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 IN THE PROCEEDINGS BEARING NO. JC(E)/KMC/SEC 114A/45/18-19, DATED 19/12/2023 AT ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

On 22.01.2026, the following Order was passed:

- "1. *Memo of retirement dated 29.10.2025 has been filed by learned counsel for the petitioner seeking permission to retire from the case on the ground that the petitioner has collected the entire file along with the NOC vakalathnama.*
2. *Today, a memo has been filed producing the acknowledgment of the petitioner.*
3. *Accepting the memo of retirement and memo of acknowledgment filed today, Sri A. Chandra Chud is discharged from the matter. Registry is directed to delete his name as such and print the name of the petitioner with the*



endorsement earlier counsel retired in the cause-list.

4. *Re-list on 03.02.2026. None appears for the petitioner. If none were to appear for the petitioner on the next day of hearing, petition would be liable to be dismissed for non-prosecution."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is absent. Even on the last date of occasion, the learned counsel for the petitioner was absent. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition.

3. For the said reason, the Writ Petition is hereby ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

CH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 37