



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 26580 OF 2025 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MRS R VINODHA
WIFE OF S.CHANDRA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
RESIDING AT, NO.83,
KAGALIPURA, KANAKPURA MAIN ROAD
UTTARAHALLI,
BENGALURU 560082
2. MRS. AMMYAMMA
WIFE OF SUBBA REDDY
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
RESIDING AT, NO.83,
KAGALIPURA, KANAKPURA MAIN ROAD
UTTARAHALLI,
BENGALURU - 560082
KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. N S SRIRAJ GOWDA.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND
FISHERIES (FISHERIES), VIKASA SOUDHA,
DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU - 560001
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY





2. THE DIRECTOR,
THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES,
2ND FLOOR, PODIUM BLOCK,
VISHWESHWARAI AH CENTRE,
DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU 560 001
3. THE JOINT DIRECTOR,
THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES,
GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MADDUR TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571401
4. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES,
GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
MADDUR TALUK
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571401
5. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES,
MADDUR TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT - 571428

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B. RAVINDRANATH, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 07.08.2025 ISSUED BY R2 IN NO. MINI/TEK/HGP/2/55/2021 (E-461033) (ANNEXURE -Q) WHEREIN THE WORK ORDERS ISSUED IN FAVOR OF THE PETITIONERS ARE CANCELLED; DIRECT THE R2 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS DATED 15.01.2025 AND 18.02.2025 (ANNEXURE-K AND ANNEXURE-L) AND CONSEQUENTLY DIRECT R2 TO ISSUE REVISED WORK ORDERS IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONERS FORTHWITH.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioners files a memo which reads as under.

"The petitioners in the above case is seeking for quash the order dated 07.08.2025 and consider the representation dated 15.01.2025. Now the respondent No.2 to 4 are agreed to consider petitioners representation subject to withdrawal of above writ petition. Hence the above matter may kindly be withdrawn as not pressed, in the interest of justice and equity."

The memo is accepted and the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE**

RB