



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 26692 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI RAVI @ SURYANARAYANA
S/O LATE MUNIVENKATAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
RESIDING AT CHIKKABANAHALLI VILLATE,
KANNAMANAGALA POST,
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,
BANGALORE 560067.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAVIKUMAR T K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT,
K G ROAD,
BANGALORE 560009.
2. THE TAHSILDAR
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,
BANGALORE 560009.
3. SRI G L CHANDRASHEKAR
S/O LATE G R LAKSHMANA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.11,
PHOENIX PALMS APARTMENT, 1ST MAIN,
2ND CROSS, 6TH BLOCK

Digitally
signed by
SUNITHA K S
Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



KORAMANGALA
BANGALORE 5620095

4. SRI G L RAJASHEKAR REDDY
S/O LATE G R LAKSHMANA REDDY,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.11,
PHOENIX PALMS APARTMENT, 1ST MAIN,
2ND CROSS, 6TH BLOCK
KORAMANGALA
BANGALORE 5620095.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, HCGP FOR R1 & R2
SRI. G.H. RAVIKUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4
R3 SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DTD 14.07.2025 PASSED BY THE KARNATAKA APPELLATE TRIBUNAL IN APPEAL NO. 405/2023 FOUND AT ANNEX-J AND ALLOW THIS WRIT PETITION WITH COSTS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner along with respondent No.4 have filed a joint memo stating that respondent Nos.3 and 4 herein had filed a suit in O.S.No.1513 of 2023 on the file of the learned II Additional Civil Judge, Bangalore Rural District,



Bangalore, contending that they are the absolute owners of 1 acre 33 ½ guntas in old Survey No. 13, new Survey No. 13/1 of Chikkabanahalli village, Bidarahalli Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk. However, in the suit a compromise petition is already filed making it clear that respondent Nos.3 and 4 have no rights over the property in question and the property belongs to the petitioner.

2. In that view of the matter, this joint memo is filed by the private parties herein.

3. Today, the learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has filed a memo enclosing a medical certificate of respondent No.3 and submits that since respondent No.3 has undergone a surgery, he is bedridden and not in a position to sign the joint memo or appear before this court.



4. In view of the above, the writ petition stands ***disposed of*** recording the statements made on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE**

SKS