



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1128 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1. BRIGADIER MALETIRA A DEVAIAH (RETD)
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
FLAT NO.536, JALVAYU TOWERS,
NGEF LAYOUT,
INDIRA NAGAR POST,
BANGALORE-560038
2. COLONEL KALENGADA M GANAPATHY
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
A-102, MALAPRABHA,
NATIONAL GAMES VILLAGE,
KORAMANGALA, BANGALORE-560047

...COMPLAINANTS

(BY SRI. SAROJINI MUTHANNA K, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE-560001
2. SRI.MUNISH MOUDGIL
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY
AFFAIRS AND LEGISLATION,





VIDHAN SOUDHA,
BANGALORE-560001

3. SRI.VENKATRAJA
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MADIKERI,
KODAGU-571201

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI.S.H. RAGHAVENDRA, AGA FOR A1 TO A3)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971 R/W ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO INITIATE CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR THE VIOLATION AND WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS HONBLE COURTS ORDER DATED 25.07.2024 AT ANNEXURE-A IN WP NO.55534/2013 OF THIS HONBLE COURT AND PUNISH THEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. Learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the accused submits that Karnataka Land Revenue Act (second Amendment Act), 2025 has been enacted by virtue of which Section 127 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 [**Act**] has been amended. Sub-section 4 which has been introduced in



Section 127 of the said Act which contains a *non obstante* clause and expressly provides that the Tahsildar of Kodagu District shall on receipt of application or otherwise, and after following the procedure prescribed and after the conduct of inquiry as deemed fit, make necessary corrections or deletion in the land records.

2. The question that arises is 'whether a procedure has been prescribed?'

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that the respondents are in the process of formulating the necessary Rules, which shall amply clarify the procedure to be followed.

4. In view of the above, we close the present complaint. However, liberty is reserved to the complainants to revive the present complaint, in the event, apposite Rules, which clearly clarify the procedure are not issued within a period of 4 months from date.

**Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE**