



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

WRIT APPEAL NO. 1422 OF 2025 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI.L.M.VIJENDRA KUMAR
S/O LATE S.MUNISONNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
2. SRI.L.M.LOKESH
S/O LATE MUNISONNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
3. SRI.L.M.PRADEEP KUMAR
S/O LATE MUNISONNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS.
4. SMT.M.S.SUJATHA
D/O LATE MUNISONNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
5. SMT. SUBBALAKSHMI
W/O LATE MUNISONNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS

ALL ARE RESIDING AT
LAKKONDANAHALLI VILLAGE,
KASABA HOBLI, HOSAKOTE TALUK
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. CHOKKAREDDY., ADVOCATE)



AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPTS BY UNDER SECRETARY
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
M.S.BUILDING
AMEDHKAR BEEDHI
BANGALORE - 560 001
2. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT
CHAPPARADHAKALU VILLAGE,
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
DEVANAHALLI 562 110
3. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
DODDABALLAPURA SUB-DIVISION
DODDABALLAPURA - 561203
4. TAHSILDAR
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
DEVANAHALLI - 562 110
5. SRI.K.PRASHANTH KUMAR
S/O A.B.KANTHARAJU
AGED MAJOR
R/AT NO.12, 4TH MAIN,
PAL CHINNAPPA LAYOUT,
BHIKASAIPURA BANGALORE 560061
6. SMT. JAWLA JALINI @ MALA
W/O T.P.ARUN PRAKASH
AGED MAJOR
NO.24, 1ST FLOOR
BSNL TELEPHONE DEPARTMENT
BACK SIDE OF BASAVESHWARA NAGAR,
BANGALORE 560079
7. SRI. D.C.CHANDRA
S/O CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA



AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
R/AT DYVARAHALLI VILLAGE
KUNDANA HOBLI
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT-562 110

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MOHAMMAD JAFFAR SHAH, AGA FOR R1 TO R4;
SRI. B.K.SAMPATH KUMAR, SENIOR ADVOCATE FOR
G.S.PRASANNA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR C/R5 & C/R6)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA
HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO CALL FOR RECORDS AND SET
ASIDE THE ORDER PASSED B THE SINGLE JUDGE IN WP
NO.3126/2025 DATED 21/07/2025 AND GRANT SUCH OTHER
RELIEFS.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,
THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH)

The present intra Court appeal has been filed
impugning the judgment and order dated 21.07.2025
passed by the writ Court in W.P.No.3126/2025 (KLR-RES),
instituted by the appellants herein.

2. The parties are referred to as per their ranking
before the writ Court, for the sake of convenience.



3. The petitioners claim to be the owners of the land in question on the basis of sale deed. However, the petitioners have alienated the said land in favour of the respondent No.7 on 20.02.2024. The dispute before the writ Court was in respect of the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner for effecting the revenue entries in favour of respondent Nos.5 and 6.

4. We fail to understand that how the petitioners, who had already alienated the land in question in favour of respondent No.7 would have locus to maintain the writ petition.

5. The learned Single Judge having taken note of the fact that the petitioners have already alienated the property in question in favour of respondent No.7 and respondent No. 7 is not come before this Court, challenging the mutation of the name of the respondent Nos.5 and 6 in respect of the land in question, the writ petition has been dismissed.



6. In view thereof, we see no reason to interfere with the impugned judgment and order dated 21.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge, which is why we ***dismiss*** this writ appeal.

7. However, we clarify that the revenue entries would be effected in pursuance of the order passed by Deputy Commissioner, which shall be subject to the final outcome of the pending suit between the parties.

8. In view dismissal of the appeal, pending interlocutory applications, if any do not survive for consideration, hence stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(D K SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(T.M.NADAF)
JUDGE**