



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 334 OF 2018 (EJE)

BETWEEN:

SMT. MALATHI SATHISH,
W/O SRI A M SATHISH @ SATHISH CHETHAN,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/AT NO.101, A-5, GHATAPRABHA BLOCK,
NATIONAL GAMES VILLAGE,
KORAMANGALA, BENGALURU-560047,
PRESIDENCY CHURCH SCHOOL, NO.19,
1ST MAIN ROAD, 3RD CROSS ROAD,
LAL BAHADUR SHASTRI NAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 017.

...APPELLANT

(BY SMT RAJESHWARI M,ADVOCATE - (ABSENT))

AND:

1. SRI. INUMULU JASAPSI JASWANTH KUMAR,
S/O SRI RAMA RAO,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
2. SRI I RAMA RAO,
S/O LATE SRI I DHARMA RAO,
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
BOTH ARE RESIDING AT
NO.L-001, VRINDA CITY,
GH-02, PHI-04, GREATER NOIDA,
DELHI, NCR-201308.

...RESPONDENTS

THIS RFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 OF CPC,
AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 20.11.2017
PASSED IN O.S.NO.1321/2016 ON THE FILE OF THE III
ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU,
DECREEING THE SUIT FOR EJECTMENT.





THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL JUDGMENT

None appears for the appellant.

2. This case was listed on 21.02.2026. There was no representation on behalf of the appellant.

3. A memo of retirement was filed by Sri R.B. Sadasivappa, learned counsel for the appellant and the same was accepted and the Court had also noticed that Smt. Rajeshwari has filed vakalath on behalf of the appellant.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant when the case was ordered to be listed today under the caption 'dismissal'.

5. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant.



6. Appeal is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

**Sd/-
(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE**

CHS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 43