



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**  
**DATED THIS THE 9<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF APRIL, 2026**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 23708 OF 2022 (GM-CPC)**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI MUNIRAJU  
S/O MUNISHAMAPPA  
AGED AOBUT 63 YEARS  
R/AT M.M.K VEGETABLES  
OPT. TO UTTAM SAGAR HOTEL  
NO. 235/7, 7TH MAIN (80 FET ROAD)  
SUBBAYANAPALYA  
BENGALURU - 560 033.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI KUMARA K.G, ADV.)

**AND:**

SMT. NANDINI  
W/O SRI RAMACHANDRAN  
AGED ABOUT 66 YEAWRS  
R/AT NO.196, 1ST MAIN ROAD  
SIVGIRI NAGAR, BIDARAHALLI  
BANGALORE EAST TALUK  
FORMERLY, R/AT NO. 235/7  
R.C. SUPER BAZER  
AND BAKERY BUILDING  
OPT TO UTTAM SAGAR HOTEL  
7TH MAIN (80 FEET ROAD)  
SUBBAYANAPALYA  
BENGALURU - 560 033  
REPRESENTED BY HER GPA HOLDER  
MR. RENIL KUMAR  
S/O RAMACHANDRAN.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI K.N. PURUSHOTHAMAN, ADV.)





THIS WP FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER DTD 11.08.2022 PASSED BY THE HONBLE XIV ADDL. CITY CIVIL JUDGE, BANGALORE (CCH-28) IN O.S.NO.1260/2019 ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

**ORAL ORDER**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed a memo stating that the writ petition is rendered infructuous. The memo reads as under:

*"The petitioner most respectfully submits as follows:*

*Wherefore, the petitioner most respectfully prays before this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner to withdraw the above Petition as dismiss as having become infructuous and be pleased to take this memo on record and pass such order the Hon'ble Court deems fit, in the interest of justice and equity."*

2. The memo is placed on record.



3. In view of the aforesaid memo, the writ petition is ***dismissed*** as having become infructuous.

**Sd/-**  
**(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)**  
**JUDGE**

KK