



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 2346 OF 2019 (INJ)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. C. RANJITH
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
S/O LATE DR. CHANDRASHEKAR
2. SMT. CHITRA
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
D/O LATE DR. CHANDRASHEKAR

REPRESENTED BY HER PA HOLDER
C.RANJITH, THE APPELLANT NO.1
BOTH ARE RESIDING AT OLD NO. 763,
NEW NO 45, 'RACHIS', 2ND CROSS,
P.N.S LAYOUT, SUBBAINAPALYA,
BENGALURU - 560 043.

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. PRAMOD M.N, ADVOCATE FOR
SMT. SWETHA G. DESHPANDE, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. S. SARAVANAN
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
S/O SRI. M. SUNDARESAN
2. SMT. SELVI K
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS

Digitally signed
by BELUR
RANGADHAMA
NANDINI
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



W/O SRI. M. SUNDARESAN
RESIDING AT NO. 44
7TH CROSS, SUBBAINAPALYA,
BENGALURU - 560 043.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GIRISH S, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2)

THIS RFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 R/W ORDER XLI,
RULE 1 OF THE CPC, AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE
DATED 27.09.2019 PASSED IN O.S.NO.26293/2014 ON THE
FILE OF THE LXXIII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, MAYO HALL UNIT, BENGALURU (CCH-74), DECREERING
THE SUIT FOR INJUNCTION.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Learned counsel for the appellants and the respondents
are present before the Court and they jointly submit that the
dispute between the parties is amicably settled and the joint
memo is filed to that effect.

2. The joint memo dated 30.08.2025 signed by the
appellants and the respondents and their counsel reads as
under:



"The appellants pray that this Court be pleased to permit them to withdraw the above appeal as the parties have settled the matter out of the Court between the appellants and the respondents, as such, the appellants are not willing to continue with the case. Hence, the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn."

3. The Court has gone through the joint memo.

4. After going through the joint memo, the Court does not find any impediment to accept the same.

In terms of the joint memo, the **Appeal* stands ***disposed of.***

**Sd/-
(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE**

MKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 17

**Page No.3 is retyped and replaced
vide chamber order dated 24.04.2026.*