



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF
WRIT APPEAL NO. 1326 OF 2025 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. OMKARAPPA K.M.
S/O. MALLAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,
R/AT: AKKANAGAMMA COLONY,
NEAR K.E.B
TARIKERE TOWN - 577 228.
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI. H R ANANTHAKRISHNAMURTHY, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE REGIONAL JOINT DIRECTOR
OF LAND RECORDS,
MYSURU DIVISION,
MYSURU - 570 010.
2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT,
CHIKKAMAGALURU - 577 101.

Digitally
signed by
REKHA R
Location:
High Court
of
Karnataka



3. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF
LAND RECORDS / TAHASILDAR,
TARIKERE TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT - 577 228.
4. SRI. NAGAPPA K M
S/O. MALLAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
R/O. GALIHALLI CROSS,
NEAR ANJANEYA TEMPLE,
TARIKERE TOWN - 577 228.
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT.
5. SRI. K.M.GANGAPPA,
S/O. MALLAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
R/O AKKANAGAMMA COLONY
NEAR K.E.B,
TARIKERE TOWN - 577 228.
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. MOHAMMAD JAFFAR SHAH, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WRIT APPEAL IS FILED U/S 4 OF THE KARNATAKA
HIGH COURT ACT PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED
08/07/2024 BY ALLOWING THE WRIT APPEAL AND REMAND
THE MATTER TO THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE TO CONSIDER
THE MATTER THE WP.NO.16520/2023(KLR-RR/SUR) AND
DECIDE THE SAME ON MERITS, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING,
THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.M.NADAF



ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH)

1. This writ appeal is filed challenging the order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No. 16520/2023 dated 08.07.2024, by the appellant herein.

2. Petitioner had approached the Appellate Authority after 65 years of phodi durasthi carried out in the year 1957 (19.04.1957).

3. Learned Single Judge has rightly dismissed the writ petition. We see no reason to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge, or there is any ground to condone the enormous delay of 57 years. Therefore, we dismiss the appeal on both grounds, i.e., on delay as well as on merits.

**Sd/-
(D K SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(T.M.NADAF)
JUDGE**