



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 1005 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

M/S FINEPUNCH FAB PRIVATE LIMITED
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE
AT NO.16/3, SHED NO.B,
DODDANAGAMANGALA VILLAGE,
BEGUR TALUK,
ELECTRONIC CITY POST- 560100
REPRESENTED BY ONE OF ITS DIRECTORS
SRI. H.PRASANNA CHANDRA SHETTY
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. MURTHY D NAIK, SENIOR ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. JAYSHAM JAYASIMHA RAO, ADVOCATE)

AND:

DR.MAHESH, IAS
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
THE KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL
AREA DEVELOPMENT BOARD,
KIADB HEAD OFFICE,
14/3, 2ND FLOOR, R.P BUILDINGS,
NRUPATUNGA ROAD,
BENGALURU-560001.

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI. PATIL BHEEMANGOUDA BASANAGOUDA,
ADVOCATE)

Digitally
signed by
NIRMALA
DEVI

Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971, BY THE COMPLAINANT, PRAYING TO PUNISH THE ACCUSED FOR CIVIL CONTEMPT OF COURT FOR VIOLATION OF THE ORDER OF THIS HONBLE COURT DATED 26.07.2024 PASSED IN WP NO.17152/2017.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. The complainant has filed the present complaint alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 26.07.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P. No.17152/2017 (GM-KIADB). In terms of the said order, the Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board [KIADB] was directed to allot suitable land to the petitioner in the event, the land becomes available in the Bommasandra - Jigani Industrial area or any other suitable industrial area. The complainant was granted liberty to furnish the details of any available land in any industrial area. The complainant had filed the present complaint alleging that it had furnished the details of



certain lands but yet no further steps had been taken by the KIADB.

2. The KIADB has filed an affidavit affirming that the lands identified by the complainant is the subject matter of an appeal [W.A. No.244/2025] which is pending in this Court. In this view, the said land is not available to the complainant. The KIADB offered alternate land/s in Kolar. However, the same is not acceptable to the complainant.

3. We are unable to accept that in the given facts that any proceedings under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 are maintainable. The complaint is, accordingly, closed.

4. Needless to state that the order disobedience of which is complained shall continue to be operative.

SD/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

SD/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE