

Witness – **RW-1**

**Further Cross-Examination by Sri. TBP for Sri GSB,
Adv for appellant**

4. I have not observed that in the Exhibits-P30 to P32 it is described that appellant-Honappa is the adopted son of Nagappa. I have not gone through the said sale deeds. It is incorrect to suggest that even after knowing fully well that the appellant is the adopted son of Nagappa, I am intentionally deposing falsehood. I do not know the scribe of the said Exhibits-P30 to P32 Mr. K.E.Mallikarjuna, Advocate. It is incorrect to suggest that I know the said Advocate and I am also aware of the contents of the said sale deeds. It is incorrect to suggest that the said Mallikarjuna, Advocate drafted the sale deeds as per our instructions. It is incorrect to suggest that registration of the said sale deeds went smoothly and without any hindrance. The contents of the sale deeds were incorrect. At the time of signing the said deeds we questioned as to why the father's name of appellant is described as adopted father. I volunteer that draft deeds furnished to us were different, whereas the contents of the registered deeds are different.

5. There was no negotiation with Dileep Kumar or Kshetra Dharmasthala Rural Development Project, BC Trust, to which I have made reference in Para No.3 of my chief. It is incorrect to suggest that in order to trouble the

appellant, even after executing the sale deeds at Exhibits-P30 to P32 by receiving the entire considerations show in them, I am deposing falsely.

Re-exam: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in my chamber on this the 29th Day of July, 2026)

R.O.I & A.C.
Sd/-
Registrar (Judicial)
High Court of Karnataka
Bengaluru.