

WP NO. 22637/2025 (GM - RES)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
[SRI B MAHESHA VS. THE MAINTENANCE AND WELFARE
OF PARENTS AND SENIOR CITIZENS TRIBUNAL AND
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER AND OTHERS]

26.02.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

On perusal of this Court's order dated 30.07.2025, Sri A. Mahesh Chowdhary, the learned counsel for the petitioner, is permitted to place on record an affidavit along with certain photographs. The affidavit and the photographs are perused. This Court, on 30.07.2025, extracting the operative portion of the impugned order [*which could be read as a direction for getting the petitioner to vacate the subject property*] has observed that the extracted portion cannot read as a direction.

2. Sri Mahesh Chowdhary submits that the private respondents, who are the petitioner's siblings and who have set up the mother [*the second respondent*], taking advantage of this order have prevailed upon the jurisdictional Deputy Tahsildar to avail police help and attempt to force the petitioner out of the second floor and the terrace area. When

queried, Sri B. Ravindranath, the learned Additional Government Advocate, submits that he has personally clarified, when the Deputy Tahsildar reached out that, this Court's order does not permit official intervention, but despite the same, the jurisdictional Deputy Tahsildar has sought for police help to intervene.

3 This Court must observe that, notwithstanding other circumstances, this conduct in itself justifies immediate intervention to protect the petitioner's possession of the second floor and the terrace. It is ordered accordingly expressly stipulating that none from the Revenue Department, and none from the Police Department shall intervene without the expressed leave of this Court. The private respondents are also restrained from interfering with the petitioner's possession of the property.

4. The petitioner, to protect the second respondent's [*the petitioner's mother*] interest, is called upon to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month into this respondent's known account. The petitioner shall deposit this amount effective from 01.02.2026 and every ensuing this month. This

amount shall be deposited within a week, and for the months thereafter before 5th of each month. The petitioner shall file an affidavit in this regard as well within a week.

5. The incumbent jurisdictional Deputy Tahsildar is called upon to file an explanation into this Court on the occasion for availing police help to recover possession in the manner that is seen in the photographs despite the advice on this Court's order. This explanation shall be filed within a week from today. The Registry is directed to furnish a copy of this order to Sri B Ravindranath so that he can furnish the jurisdictional Deputy Tahsildar [*over E-mail*]. This order shall constitute a notice to the Deputy Tahsildar on why this Court must not direct the concerned disciplinary authority to initiate proceedings for possible misconduct.

This petition is treated as part- heard to be listed on **18.03.2026.**

(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE