



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO. 23801 OF 2024 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI GIRIRAJA GOWDA C
S/O LATE CHIKKAMUNISHAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
R/A NO. 171, 1ST MAIN, 1ST CROSS
SIDDAPURA, BANGALORE NORTH
BANGALORE 560 066
2. SRI VENKATA RAJU C
S/O LATE CHIKKAMUNISHAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
R/A NO. 171, 1ST MAIN, 1ST CROSS
SIDDAPURA, BANGALORE NORTH
BANGALORE 560 066.
3. SRI CHANDRA SHEKAR C
S/O LATE CHIKKAMUNISHAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
R/A NO. 171, 1ST MAIN, 1ST CROSS
SIDDAPURA, BANGALORE NORTH
BANGALORE 560 066.



...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. MURALI N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANT TO
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER



34TH FLOOR, BEHIND KANDAYA BHAVAN
K G ROAD, BENGALURU 560009.

2. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS
BANGALORE EAST TALUK
BANGALORE 560 036.
3. THE TAHASILDAR
BANGALORE EAST TALUK
K R PURAM, BANGALORE-560036.
4. THE SURVEY SUPERVISOR
BANGALORE EAST (ADDL)TALUK
K R PURAM, BANGALORE 560 036.
5. SRI KRISHNAPPA
S/O LATE CHIKKABACAPPA
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
6. SRI BACHEGOWDA
S/O KRISHNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
RESPONDENTS 5 & 6 ARE
R/O SIDDAPURA VILLAGE
VARTHUR HOBLI,
BANGALORE EAST TALUK
BANGALORE 560 066

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. NAVYA SHEKHAR., AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DECLARE THAT THE ENDORSEMENT BEARING NO. G.THA.SA/BHOO.U.NI/THAM(2) P.R.218/2024-25 DTD. 31.07.2024 ISSUED BY THE R-1 PRODUCED AT ANNEX-J TO THE WRIT PETITION AS ILLEGAL AND QUASH THE SAME.DIRECTION TO THE R-1 TO CONSIDER THE UN-NUMBERED APPEAL PRODUCED AT ANNEX-H TO THE WRIT PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS ON 16.07.2021 BEFORE THE R-1 IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate takes notice for respondents No.1 to 4. Notice to other respondents is not necessary for the following reasons:

Learned Counsel for petitioners submits that the appeal preferred by the petitioners before the first respondent-Deputy Director of Land Records has been rejected by the said authority by issuing the impugned endorsement dated 31.07.2024 at Annexure-J, stating that the RTC to the land in question does not reflect the name of the petitioners. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the RTC reflects the name of the petitioners' father and such an endorsement could not have been issued by the Deputy Commissioner.

2. This Court has perused Annexure-A, RTC, which reflects the name of the petitioners' father, Late Sri.Chikkamunishamappa S/o Hanumanthappa, to an



extent of 1 Acre 38 Guntas in Survey No.61/2 of Siddapura Village, Varthur Hobli, Bangalore East Taluk.

3. For the reason stated above, the writ petition is ***allowed*** while setting aside the impugned endorsement dated 31.07.2024 at Annexure-J issued by the first respondent-Deputy Director of Land Records. The appeal filed by the petitioners is restored on the file of the first respondent, with a direction to the first respondent to issue notice to the respondents therein and proceed to consider the appeal in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

DL
CT: JL