



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 21583 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. SREENU YATAM
S/O ANJIREDDY YATAM
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
2. SMT. ANITHA KONDAREDDY
W/O SREENU YATAM
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS

R/AT NO.3700, LILICK DR,
APT 125 SANTA CLARA, CA,
USA, 95051

REPRESENTED BY ITS GPA HOLDER
SRI. ANIL KUMAR KONDI REDDY
S/O VENKA REDDY KONDI REDDY
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
R/AT NO.16-21, SARVABOTLA VAARI STREET,
KA NELLORE, ANDRA PRADESH - 524 201

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SRINIVAS V, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGAR PALIKE
N.R.SQUARE, BANGALURU - 560 002





2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MARUTHI SEVA NAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
R.S. PALYA, KAMMANAHALLI MAIN ROAD,
BBMP, BANGALORE - 560 084.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SINCHANA M.R, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION PRAYING TO A) ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER WRIT OF LIKE NATURE AND QUASH THE NOTICE DATED 01/07/2025 BEARING NO SAKAANIA/MAASENA/VA-59/85/P.R./11/2025-26 PASSED NOTICE UNDER SECTION 313 OF THE BBMP ACT 2020 BY THE RESPONDENT NO 2 ANNEXURE D TO THE WRIT PETITION AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Petitioners are before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:

- a) *Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ of like nature and quash Notice the dated 01/07/2025 bearing No: SaKaaNiA/MaaSeNa/Va-59/85/P.R./11/2025-26 passed notice under section 313 of the BBMP Act 2020 by the respondent No 2 Annexure D to the writ petition,*
- b) *Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other writ of like nature and direct the respondents to consider the plan submitted by the petitioner at Annexure C to the writ petition and accord sanction to the same and,*
- c) *Grant any other remedy that this Hon'ble Court deems fit, in the interest of justice and equity.*



2. The grievance of the petitioners is that without considering the plan which has been submitted by the petitioners, a notice dated 1.07.2025 at Annexure-D has been issued. A perusal of Annexure-D indicates that it is a notice issued under Section 313 of the Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike Act, 2020 (BBMP Act, 2020) calling upon the petitioners to furnish the documents called upon in the said notice. It is for the respondents to reply to the same and furnish the documents for the purpose of consideration by the respondents. Instead of doing so, the petitioners have approached this Court challenging the said notice under Section 313 of the BBMP Act, 2020.
3. Hence, reserving liberty to the petitioners to reply to the said notice, which reply shall be submitted on or before 06.03.2026 and directing the respondents to consider the same in accordance with law, the petition stands ***disposed of.***

SD/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE