



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 22104 OF 2025 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

MRS. MANJULA
W/O SRINIVAS PRASAD,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
R/O NO.114, SHANKTHIBETTU HOUSE,
KALMANJA POST AND VILALGE,
BELTHANGADY TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ABDUL ANSAR P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ADDL. CHIEF SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION,
M.S. BUILDING,
AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
AND RECOVERY OFFICER, KCSR,
S.D.C.C. BANK LTD.,
MANGALURU,
DAKSHINA KANNADA - 575 001.
3. S.D.C.C. BANK
ALADANGADY BRANCH,
REP. BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER,
PADMAMBA COMPLEX,
ALADANGADY,

Digitally signed
by: CHAYASA
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



BELTHANGADY TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA - 574 217.

4. MR. PRAVIN K.S.
S/O SUNDARA
AGE: MAJOR
R/O INDABETTU, BANGADI,
BELTHANGADY TALUK,
DAKSHINA KANNADA - 574 214.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI. RAKSHITH KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3;
SRI. ABHISHEK MARLA, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE PROCLAMATION OF SALE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 IN CASE NO.1747/2023-24 VIDE ANNEXURE-C AND SALE CONFIRMATION CERTIFICATE DATED 04TH FEBRUARY, 2025 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 VIDE ANNEXURE-D; DECLARE THAT THE PUBLIC AUCTION SALE CONDUCTED BY RESPONDENT NO.2 ON 18TH DECEMBER, 2024 AS ILLEGAL; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, the petitioner is challenging the Sale Notice issued by the respondent No.2 in Case No.1747/2023-24 (Annexure-C) and Sale Confirmation Certificate dated 04th February, 2025 (Annexure-D) issued by the respondent No.2;



inter alia sought for declaring the Public Auction Sale conducted by the respondent No.2 on 18th December, 2024 as illegal.

2. Heard Sri. Abdul Ansar P., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner; Sri. Yogesh D. Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 and 2; Sri. Rakshith Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.3; and Sri. Abhishek Marla, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.4.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on careful perusal of the averments made in the writ petition, the same would indicate that the petitioner herein has not challenged the original award passed by the competent authority under the provisions of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959. Therefore, no interference be called for in this petition. Accordingly, writ petition is ***dismissed.***

**Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE**

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 62