



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN
WRIT PETITION NO. 21314 OF 2025 (LB-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI. K.K. RAMAKRISHNA
S/O KADALAIHAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RESIDING AT 124/125
RAVI KIRLOSKAR LAYOUT
CHIKKABIDARAKALLI
BENGALURU - 560 073.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. HARSHA KUMAR GOWDA H.R., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
VIKASA SOUDHA, BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE COMMISSIONER
MADANAYAKANAHALLI CITY
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
BENGALURU NORTH TALUK
BENGALURU - 562 162.
3. SRI. MANJUNATHA R.B.
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
FATHER NAME NOT KNOWN
TO THE PETITIONER
R/AT NO.186, DODDA BIDARAKALLU
BEHIND MUNESHWARA TEMPLE
BENGALURU - 560 073.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. B. BOPANNA, AGA FOR R1;
SMT. H.C.LOKESHWARI, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. RAVISHANKAR A., ADVOCATE FOR R2;
SRI. G.H.CHANNA GANGAIAH, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

Digitally
signed by
GEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO RESPONDENT NO.2 TO CONSIDER THE PETITIONER REPRESENTATION DATED 30/05/2025 PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-E AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

1. On the allegation that respondent no.3 is putting up construction in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan, the present writ petition is filed.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.2-City Municipal Council, upon instructions submits that, upon inspection it has been found that respondent no.3 has indeed put up construction in violation of building bye-laws and sanctioned plan and action has been initiated against respondent no.3 in the manner known to law.



3. Learned counsel for respondent no.3 submits that, petitioner is also guilty of putting up construction in violation of buildings bye-laws and the sanctioned plan.

4. In the light of aforementioned submissions of learned counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3, writ petition is ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent no.2 to take the action initiated against respondent no.3 to its logical end in accordance with law within a period of three months from today. Respondent no.2 is further directed to initiate appropriate action against the petitioner also in accordance with law, if it is found that his construction is in violation of building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**