HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 23060 OF 2024 (GM-AC)

BETWEEN:

THE REGIONAL MANAGER
ICICI LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
C.22, MAXIMUM COMMERCIAL COMPLEX,
LHH ROAD, HAMPANAKATTE,
MANGALORE-01
NOW REP. BY ITS MANAGER,
ASHWIN .K
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS,
ICICI LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
OFFICE AT 'ESTATE BUILDING',
NO.121, 9TH FLOOR, DICKENSON ROAD CROSS,
(MG ROAD), BENGALURU-560 042

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A N KRISHNA SWAMY.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by SHWETHA RAGHAVENDRA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. C P ANANTH
   S/O PUTTEGOWDA
   AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
   A.CHOLENAHALLI VILLAGE,
   DANDIGANAHALLI HOBLI,
   CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK,
   HASSAN DISTRICT

2. DORE A.K.
   S/O KUMAR,
   AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,
   A.CHOLENAHALLI VILLAGE,
   DANDIGANAHALLI HOBLI,
   CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK,
   HASSAN DISTRICT-573116.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. RAJARAMA S., ADVOCATE FOR R1;
R2-SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE APPROPRIATE WRIT OR ORDER TO QUASH THE ORDERS AS PER ANNX-E DATED 19/06/2024 PASSED BY IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, SIT AT CHANNARAYAPATNA REJECTING THE APPLICATION FILED BY PETITIONER UNDER ORDER 7 RULE 11(d) READ WITH SECTION 151 OF CPC, READ WITH SECTION 166(3) OF MV ACT IN MVC NO. 250/2023 AND ETC.

THIS WRIT PETITION, COMING ON FOR FURTHER HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. In the above petition, the short question that arises for consideration is whether a claim petition filed under sub-section (3) of Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 would be maintainable if instituted beyond a period of six months from the date of the accident.

2. It is not in dispute that the said issue is presently under consideration before the Hon’ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412–8413/2023. By way of an interim order, the Hon’ble Supreme Court has observed that the pendency of the Special Leave Petitions shall not preclude the Motor Accident Claims

Tribunal from proceeding with the adjudication of claim petitions; however, it has been expressly stipulated that final judgments shall not be pronounced.

3. In view of the aforesaid development, and having regard to similar interim orders passed by this Court in connected matters, it is submitted that this petition may be disposed of with appropriate directions to the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal to proceed with the matters, subject to the limitation imposed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

4. Having considered the submissions and the interim directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, I deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with the following directions:

   4.1. The Motor Accident Claims Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed with the adjudication of the claim petitions in all respects, including recording of evidence and hearing of arguments;

   4.2. However, the Tribunal shall not pronounce or draw up the final award in the said proceedings

until further orders are passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP Nos. 8412–8413/2023.

5. It is further clarified that the ultimate outcome of the proceedings before the Tribunal shall abide by the decision to be rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid Special Leave Petitions.

5.1. In the event the Hon'ble Supreme Court holds that claim petitions filed beyond the period of six months from the date of the accident are maintainable, the Tribunal shall be at liberty to proceed to pronounce and draw up the final award in accordance with law;

5.2. Conversely, if it is held that such claim petitions are not maintainable, the Tribunal shall pass appropriate orders in conformity with the law so declared.

6. With the above Observations, the Writ Petition stands **disposed**.

Sd/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

List No.: 19 Sl No.: 0