



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 832 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. SATHYABAMA
D/O KAMARAJA
AGED 50 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.91,
GIRIPURAM, MYSURU ROAD,
BENGALURU -560 018
2. S KAMARAJ
S/O SANYASI
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.191,
GIRIPURAM, MYSURU ROAD,
BENGALURU -560 018
3. KOUSHAL RAM
S/O LATE M C SEETHARAMAN,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO 12, SOUTH STREET,
YELAGONDAPALAYAM,
AUSTIN TOWN,
BENGALURU -560047
4. SMT R KRISHNAVENI
D/O M D RAJU
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO 41, ARMSTRONG ROAD,
BHARATHI NAGAR,





BENGALURU -560 001

5. PREM KUMAR
S/O DESAMUTHU
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.3, 6TH MAIN,
S K GARDEN
BENGALURU -560 086
6. M SHAKILA
W/O LATE SHAM
AGED 56 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.544,
KUPPUSWAMY BUILDING,
PERIYAR NAGAR, DEVARAJEEVANAHALLI,
BENGALURU -560 045

...COMPLAINANTS

(BY SRI RADHAKRISHNA HOLLA A., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI M JAYARAM I A S
COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
T CHOWDAIAH ROAD,
KUMARAPARK WEST,
BENGALURU 560020

...ACCUSED

(BY SRI. AJAYKUMAR M., ADVOCATE)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SECTION 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, 1971, BY THE COMPLAINANT, WHEREIN HE PRAYS THAT THE HONBLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO INITIATE CONTEMPT COURT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR DISOBEYING THE ORDER DATED 15.03.2023 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN WP NO.49448/2019 (BDA).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)

This contempt petition is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order of the learned Single Judge dated 15.03.2023, passed in W.P. No.49448/2019.

2. The operative portion of the order reads as under;

"In the above circumstances, this Writ Petition succeeds in part; the impugned Resolution of the BDA whereby cancellation of allotment of sites has been effected, is set at naught by issuing a Writ of Certiorari.

The matter is remitted for consideration afresh with the participation of petitioners and after supplying a copy of the police report to them. All contentions are kept open. The remand to be accomplished within a period of three months.

The petitioners are restrained from alienating, encumbering or otherwise putting



up any structures on the subject sites till the enquiry is accomplished.

Costs made easy."

3. The respondent/accused filed an affidavit and the memo enclosing copy of the orders passed by the Authority pursuant to the direction of the learned Single Judge. The affidavit indicates that the Authority has issued notice to the writ petitioners and thereafter, passed appropriate orders. The memo dated 29.10.2025 filed by the accused also indicates that notice dated 23.02.2024 sent to the complainant is enclosed with copy of police report. Further, the reply submitted by the complainant to the said notice at page No.5 also makes reference with regard to the police report.

4. Hence, we are of the considered view that the direction of the learned Single Judge has been complied and there is no willful disobedience of the order of the learned Single Judge.



5. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings are ***closed***. Notice issued to the accused shall stand discharged. It is open for the complainant to challenge the said order in accordance with law.

**Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(VIJAYKUMAR A. PATIL)
JUDGE**

PN
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 27