



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO. 20791 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. BINDU RAMANAN
W/O. S.G. LAKSHMI NARAYANA,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.191/192,
ROYAL SHELTERS LAYOUT, 4TH CROSS,
DEVARACHIKKANAHALLI,
BENGALURU - 560 076.
2. MISS UDAYA KUMARA
D/O. MR. M.S. KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.191/192,
ROYAL SHELTERS LAYOUT, 4TH CROSS,
DEVARACHIKKANAHALLI,
BENGALURU - 560 076.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. ASHOKA T., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE
BBMP, N.R.SQUARE,
BENGALURU - 560 002,
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER.
2. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
URBAN PLANNING,
BOMMANAHALLI ZONE,
BBMP SUB-DIVISIONAL,

Digitally
signed by
GEETHA P G
Location:
HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA



BBMP BOMMANAHALLI WARD NO.175,
BEGUR ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 085.

3. SMT. JOHRA JABEEN
W/O. JEYAULLA S.,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO.8,
OPALANCE APARTMENT,
KHAJI STREET, BASAVANAGUDI,
BENGALURU - 560 004.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PRAVEEN KUMAR RAIKOTE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2;
SRI. AKASH V.T., ADVOCATE FOR R3-ABSENT)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226
AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL
FOR RECORDS THE ORDER DATED 25.04.2025 PASSED BY THE
RESPONDENT NO.1 VIDE ANNEUXRE-A AND QUASH ORDER
DATED 25.04.2025 VIDE ANNEXURE-A PASSED BY THE
RESPONDENT NO.1 IN APPEAL NO.298/2024.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING
IN 'B' GROUP THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL
HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.I.ARUN



ORAL ORDER

The writ petition is filed on the allegation that respondent No.3 has put up an illegal construction contrary to the building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan and that in spite of several complaints, the Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (for short, 'the BBMP') now, the Greater Bengaluru Authority (for short, 'the GBA') has not taken any action.

2. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3 is absent.

3. The learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.1 and 2, upon instructions, submits that suitable action will be initiated against respondent No.3 after verifying the construction put up by respondent No.3.

4. He further submits that the past notices issued against respondent No.3 may not hold any water in the light of amendment carried out to the building bye-laws recently and that in view of the fact that respondent No.3



is at liberty to make necessary application for sanction of a modified plan.

5. Hence, the following:

ORDER

- i. The Writ Petition is hereby ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to inspect the property of respondent No.3 and if the same is found to be in violation of the building bye-laws and the sanctioned plan, to initiate appropriate action against respondent No.3 in accordance with law.
- ii. The said exercise shall be completed within a period of four (04) months from today.
- iii. It is needless to state that if respondent No.3 is of the opinion that any part of her construction can be saved as per the amended regulations and bye-laws, she is



at liberty to make such application to the respondent - BBMP / GBA and while passing orders, the respondent - BBMP / GBA will also take into consideration the amended bye-laws.

Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE

CH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 48