



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY
WRIT PETITION NO. 21038 OF 2024 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

SRI C.K. SREENATHAN
S/O LATE KRISHNAIAH CHETTY
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.43/15
PROMENADE ROAD LAYOUT,
2ND CROSS, BENGALURU - 560 005

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI NISHCHAY S.P, ADV.)

AND:

SRI VINOD G.J
S/O M. GOWTHAM CHAND
AGED ABOUT 43 YEAR
OCC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
BGSE PROPERTIES AND SECURITIES
LTD HAVING ITS OFFICE AT NO.51
STOCK EXCHANGE TOWERS 1ST CROSS
BENGALURU, KARNATAKA - 560 027.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SRI SAMPATH A, ADV)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DTD
30.05.2024 AGAINST THE PETITIONER IN PCR.NO.51211/2021 (NOW
CC NO. 57030/24) ON THE FILE OF THE XI ADD.CHIEF
METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT BENGLAURU (11TH ACMM)
WHEREIN COGNIZANCE IS TAKEN AGAINST THE PETITIONER FOR
THE OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 500 OF IPC AS PER
ANNX-A.





THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER
WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. Accused is before this Court in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of Cr.PC with a prayer to quash the order dated 30.05.2024 passed in PCR.No.51211/2021 (CC.No.57030/2024) pending on the file of the Court of XI Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bengaluru, wherein cognizance is taken for the offence punishable under Section 500 IPC.

2. Learned Counsel for the parties jointly submit that the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled and a joint memo is filed along with the copy of the settlement agreement executed between the parties. They submit that the offence alleged against the petitioner is a compoundable offence, and therefore, in view of the settlement arrived between the parties, the prayer made in the writ petition may be granted.



3. The aforesaid submission and the joint memo along with the settlement agreement between the parties is taken on record.

4. The joint memo is signed by the respective advocates and by their learned Advocates. In the said joint memo, it is stated as under:

"The Advocate for petitioner humbly submits that this matter has been settled and seeks leave of this Hon'ble Court for disposal of the present writ petition in light of the settlement between the parties, that is annexed herewith as Annexure-A. In accordance with the terms of the settlement the impugned criminal complaint PCR 51211/2021 on the file of the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate Court, Bangalore, may be dismissed as withdrawn by the Complainant/Respondent herein and the present writ petition allowed by this Hon'ble Court in terms of Annexure A settlement agreement and in the interests of justice and equity."

5. Considering the aforesaid aspects of the matter, I am of the opinion that the prayer made in the writ petition is required to be granted. Accordingly, the following order:

6. Petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 30.05.2024 passed in PCR.No.51211/2021 (CC.No.57030/2024) by the



NC: 2026:KHC:12352
WP No. 21038 of 2024

Court of XI Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bengaluru, wherein cognizance is taken for the offence punishable under Section 500 IPC, and the entire proceedings in PCR.No.51211/2021, are hereby quashed.

Sd/-
(S VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE

KK