



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO.8218 OF 2019 (CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. P.H. SUNITHA
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,
WIFE OF M.P.GANESH,
RESIDENT OF RAMEGOWDANA GALLI,
14TH WARD, PAVAGADA ROAD,
MADHUGIRI TOWN,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 132.
2. SRI. M.V. NARASIMHAMURTHY
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
S/O SRI. VEERANNA,
RESIDENT OF RAGHAVENDRA EXTENSION,
BEHIND GOWTHAMA BUDDHA COLLEGE,
MADHUGIRI TOWN,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 132.

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. VIVEK S.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. NIRMALA
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
D/O LATE K.ASHWATHAMA,
W/O SRI. KRISHNEGOWDA,
R/AT NO.1649, C BLOCK,
SAHAKARA NAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 092.
2. SMT. B.V. PRAMILA
D/O NOT KNOWN,





CLAIMING TO BE THE
SECOND WIFE OF LATE ASHWATHAMA,
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
C/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
NO.1160, 7TH CROSS, 3RD MAIN,
2ND PHASE, GIRINAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 085.

3. SMT. DR. KALPANA
D/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
R/AT C/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
NO.1160, 7TH CROSS, 3RD MAIN,
2ND PHASE, GIRINAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 085.
4. SRI. BHANU PRAKASH
S/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
R/AT C/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
NO.1160, 7TH CROSS, 3RD MAIN,
2ND PHASE, GIRINAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 085.
5. SRI. NARASIMHA PRASAD
S/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
R/AT C/O B.V.PRAMEELA,
NO.1160, 7TH CROSS, 3RD MAIN,
2ND PHASE, GIRINAGAR,
BANGALORE - 560 085.

...RESPONDENTS

(VIDE COURT ORDER DATED 09.12.2019,
NOTICE TO R2 TO R5 ARE DISPENSED WITH)

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER
ORDER 43 RULE 1(r) OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE,
1908.



THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, THE JUDGMENT IS DELIVERED AS UNDER:

ORAL JUDGMENT

Sri.Vivek S, counsel for the appellants appeared in person

2. The appeal is filed to set aside the order dated 04.07.2019 passed by the Court of II Additional City Civil Judge, Bengaluru (CCH-17) on IA No.1 in O.S.No.4909/2018.

3. The respondent No.1 was the plaintiff before the Trial Court and she has filed an application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of Code of Civil Procedure for grant of an injunction. The Trial Court had granted the temporary injunction, as against which, defendant Nos.5 and 6 have preferred the appeal.

4. Counsel for the appellants submits that the appeal may be disposed of directing the Trial Court to dispose of the suit as expeditiously as possible.

5. Submission is noted.

6. As the suit is filed in 2018 and it is almost pending for eight years, hence, a gentle request is made to the Trial



Court to dispose of the suit within an outer limit of one year from receipt of certified copy of the order and without getting influenced by the observation and the findings recorded in the impugned order.

7. With the above observation, the appeal is disposed of. Parties to co-operate for the speedy disposal of the suit. As the Court has not discussed anything on the merits of the case, there is no need to issue notice to respondent No.1.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 48