



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 14TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI

WRIT PETITION NO. 20006 OF 2025 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

DR. D SANNA DURGAPPA
DV-122, VIGNANAPURA CAMPUS
OPP-ISRO HEAD QUARTERS
NEW BEL ROAD
RMV 2ND STAGE,
BANGALORE PIN - 560094

...PETITIONER

[SRI. DR. D SANNA DURGAPPA (PARTY IN PERSON)]

AND:

1. THE DIRECTOR
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE
BANGALORE - 560012
2. THE CHAIRMAN
SEXUAL HARASSMENT COMPLAINTS COMMITTEE
(SHCC)

Digitally
signed by
SUNITHA K S
Location:
HIGH COURT
OF
KARNATAKA



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE
BANGALORE - 560012

3. THE UNION OF INDIA
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
MINISTRY OF EDUCATION
SHASTRI BHAVAN
NEW DELHI - 110 001.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PRADEEP S SAWKAR, SUNDARSWAMY AND RAMDAS,
ADVOCATES FOR R1
SRI. B PRAMOD, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO 1) DIRECT THE DIRECTOR OF THE INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE (IISC) TO REINSTATE THE PETITIONER IN THE SERVICE WITH ALL ASSOCIATED BENEFITS, INCLUDING PROMOTIONS, BACK WAGES, SENIORITY. AND PENSIONARY BENEFITS FROM THE DATE OF REMOVAL TO THE PRESENT. THE DIRECTOR AGREED TO REINSTATEMENT BASED ON THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE KARNATAKA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY (KLA) COMMITTEE INQUIRY REPORT, WHICH FOUND THAT THE IISC SEXUAL HARASSMENT COMMITTEE WAS NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VISHAKHA GUIDELINES, THAT THERE WAS NO SEXUAL HARASSMENT, THAT A HONEY TRAP HAD BEEN SET UP BECAUSE HE IS A MEMBER OF THE SCHEDULED CASTE, THAT HE WAS REMOVED FROM SERVICE ON FALSE CHARGES, AND THAT HE HAD NOT COMPLIED WITH THE JOINT MEMO. THE KLA INQUIRY REPORT DATED ON 19.8.2017 AND 11.9.2017, NO. KAVISASA/AJAAPS/69/PETITION/2017 AS PER ANNEXURE-V AND W AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK S.KINAGI



ORAL ORDER

1. The petitioner / party-in-person has filed a Memo dated 09.10.2025 for withdrawal of the writ petition.
2. It is stated in the Memo that subsequent to filing of the instant writ petition, the petitioner has also filed a revision petition and a review petition, which are pending consideration. Now, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the present writ petition at this stage and liberty is sought to approach this Court afresh at a later stage.
3. Memo is placed on record. The writ petition is ***dismissed as withdrawn*** with the aforesaid liberty.
4. In view of disposal of the writ petition, pending interlocutory applications stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(ASHOK S.KINAGI)
JUDGE**

RK
CT:KHV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 5