

WP NO. 19427/2026 (LB - RES)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

**[S THAVARE NAIK @ (S T NAIK) VS. STATE OF KARNATAKA
AND ANOTHER]**

09.07.2026

(VIDEO CONFERENCING / PHYSICAL HEARING)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

Sri Venkat Satyanarayana A., the learned counsel for the petitioner, is heard on the grant of *ad interim order*.

The petitioner's grievance is with the Endorsements dated 02.11.2021 and 21.05.2026 [*Annexures- C and G*]. The petitioner is informed that allotment of a site is cancelled because of a probe under the Karnataka Lokayukta Act, 1984. Sri Venkat Satyanarayana A. submits that the petitioner has applied under the '*Schedule Tribe*' category being entitled to the same and that the Endorsements could not have been issued without informing the petitioner the reason for cancellation of the allotment and that mere reference to the Lokayukta report would not suffice in law.

Significantly, Sri Venkat Satyanarayana A submits that this Court in very similar circumstances has directed

the authorities to maintain *status quo* to ensure that the allotment is not jettisoned.

In the light of the afore, the interim order is granted notwithstanding the absence of a formal request directing the authorities not to jettison the allotment by creating third party interest effective from today.

Sri Kenche Gowda, a learned Additional Government Advocate, is called upon to accept notice for the first respondent.

Sri A.V. Gangadharappa, a learned standing counsel for the second respondent, is called upon to accept notice for this respondent, and Sri Venkat Satyanarayana A. shall ensure that the copies are served on his Chambers at the earliest.

The office is directed to re-list this petition on **20.08.2026.**

(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

SA

List No.: 2 Sl No.: 4