



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO.2324 OF 2008 (DEC)

BETWEEN:

1. K G NEELAMEGHA SHAM
S/O. LATE K N GOVINDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
BEHIND MUNICIPAL HIGH SCHOOL,
S-KARIYAPPA ROAD,
RANGANATHA BADAVANE,
KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562 117,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.
2. ANANTHAPPA @ ANANTHA ARYA
S/O. K N GOVINDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
OM SHAKTI DHAMA
SANGAMA VILLAGE
UYYAMBALLI HOBLI
KANAKAPURA TALUK - 562 117,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.
3. K G MUNICHANDRAIAH
S/O. K N GOVINDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
NO.126, BUDHIKERI ROAD,
KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562 117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.
4. SMT ANANTHALAKSHMAMMA,
S/O. LATE K N GOVINDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 90 YEARS
NO.126, BUDHIKERI ROAD





KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562 117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.

...APPELLANTS

(ABSENT)

AND:

1. SMT GOWRAMMA
SINCE DEAD, REPRESENTED BY HER LRS
- 1(A). SMT INDIRAMMA
D/O. K C CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
W/O. KRISHNAPPA @ KITTI,
NO.34, II CROSS, GOVINDA REDDY BADAVANE,
ARAKERE, MICO LAYOUT,
BANNERGHATTA MAIN ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560076.
- 1(B). SRI PARTHARATHY @ RAJU
S/O. LATE K C CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
"SHRI VENKATESHWARA NILAYA"
1ST FLOOR, NO.42/2, 6TH CROSS,
11TH MAIN ROAD,
NEAR LAKSHMI KANTHA KALYAN MANTAPA,
R.K.LAYOUT, PADMANABHA NAGARA,
BANGALORE - 560070.
- 1(C). SMT KAMALA
W/O BALAKRISHNA
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
D/O. LATE K C CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA
PROFESSING BEAUTY PARLOR WORK
SURABHI BEAUTY PARLOR,
OPP: KENGERI GATE POLICE STATION,
POLICE ROAD, RANASINGH PET,
BANGALORE - 560053.
- 1(D). SRI RAMAKRISHNA
S/O. LATE K C CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA



AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
C/O. MUNISWAMAPPA PROVISION STORES,
OPP: KENGERI GATE POLICE STATION,
POLICE ROAD, RANAGINGH PET,
BANGALORE - 560053.

- 1(E). SMT. VIJAYALAKSHMI,
D/O. LATE K.C.CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA,
C/O.GEETHA BANGLE STORES,
OPP: KENGERI POLICE STATION,
POLICE ROAD, RANASINGH PET,
BANGALORE-560053.
- 1(F). SMT GEETHA
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
W/O. RAMAKRISHNA
D/O. LATE K C CHIKKAMUNIYAPPA
MUNISWAMAPPA PROVISION STORES
OPP: KENGERI GATE POLICE STATION.
POLICE ROAD, RANASINGH PET,
BANGALORE-560053.
2. M/S JAMIYA MILIYA TRUST
KANAKAPURA TOWN,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT
SRI ABDUL JABBAR
AGED ABOUT 83 YEARS
JAMIYA MASJID PET,
KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562 117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.
3. SMT KEMPAMMA
W/O. LATE MUNINAGAI AH
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
4. SRI MANJUNATHA
W/O. LATE MUNINAGAI AH
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
5. SRI JAYAKUMAR
S/O. LATE MUNINAGAI AH



AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS

RESPONDENTS NO.3 TO 5 ARE
R/AT MALAGALU KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562117.
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.

6. SRI K G NARAYAN
S/O. K N GOVINDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS
NO.126, BUDHIKERI ROAD
KANAKAPURA TOWN - 562 117
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HONNAPPA, ADVOCATE FOR R1(A-F);
R2 SERVED BUT UNREPRESENTED;
SRI. SHIVAWAMY, ADVOCATE FOR R3 TO R5;
SRI. R.B.SADASIVAPPA, ADVOCATE FOR R6;
VIDE COURT ORDER DATED 01.04.2025,
APPEAL ABATED AS AGAINST R6;
VIDE COURT ORDER DATED 28.10.2021,
APPEAL ABATED AS AGAINST R4)

THIS REGULAR SECOND APPEAL IS FILED UNDER
SECTION 100 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908.

THIS REGULAR SECOND APPEAL IS LISTED FOR FINAL
HEARING, THIS DAY, THE JUDGMENT IS DELIVERED AS
UNDER:

ORAL JUDGMENT

The appeal is listed today for final hearing and the names
of the appellants are printed/ shown in the cause list. The
names of the appellants are called out thrice in the open Court,
there is no representation on behalf of the appellants, either



personally or through video conferencing. The appellants are absent.

2. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the appeal was listed on 06.02.2026, on that day, counsel Sri.N.Subba Shastry., was permitted to retire from the case and the registry was directed to print the names of the appellants in the cause list and it was also ordered to be listed on 24.02.2026. Accordingly, the appeal is listed today by printing the names of the appellants in the cause list. As could be seen from the appeal papers, the appeal is filed in 2008. Now we are in 2026. The appeal is pending from eighteen years. As already noted above, the names of the appellants are called out thrice in the open Court, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants, either personally or through video conferencing. The appellants have not engaged counsel to represent them in the Court. It appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the appeal. Hence, the appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.



3. Because of dismissal of the appeal, interim order, if any, stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

SD/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

MRP
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1