



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

WRIT PETITION NO. 19535 OF 2025 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

SMT. SHWETHA P
W/O SUDHAKAR
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/AT NO.74, KANAKAPURA MAIN ROAD,
HOSAHALLI, NEAR GOVT. BOYS HOSTEL,
THALAGHATTAPURA, BENGALURU - 560 062.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SYED AMEETH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE TAHSILDAR
BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
BANGALORE - 560 009.
2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT,
KRISHI BHAVAN, HUDSON CIRCLE,
BANGALORE - 560 002.
3. THE COMMISSIONER
BRUHAT BANGALORE MAHANAGARA PALIKE
BANGALORE - 560 002.
4. THE CHIEF SECRETARY
THE GOVERNMENT,





GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
VIDHANA SOUDHA
BANGALORE - 560 002.

5. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT,
KRISHI BHAVAN, HUDSON CIRCLE,
BANGALORE - 560 002.
6. THE ASSISTANT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BBMP, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT
KENGARI SATELITE TOWN, SIRKA BUS STOP,
BENGALURU - 560 060.
7. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
SECRETARY OF ASHRAYA SCHEME
BBMP, RAJARAJESHWARI CIRCLE,
BENGALURU - 560 098.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. BOPPANNA BELLİYAPPA, AGA FOR R1, R2, R4 AND R5;
SRI. K.S. MALLIKARJUNA REDDY, ADVOCATE FOR R5, R6
AND R7)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO A. WRIT OF
MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS NOT TO DISPOSES
THE PETITIONER WITHOUT FOLLOWING THE DUE PROCEDURE
OF LAW AS THE PETITIONER IS IN PECEAFUL POSSESSION IN
SY.NO.2 OF UTTRAHALLI MANAVARTE KAVAl, HOSAHALLI,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK MEASURING 20 X 30 FEET VIDE
HUD535KHB91 AS PER ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,



THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ

ORAL ORDER

1. Petitioner is before this Court seeking for the following reliefs:

- a. Writ of mandamus directing the Respondents not to disposes the petitioner without following the due procedure of law as the Petitioner is in peceaful possession in Sy.No.2 of Uttrahalli Manavarte Kaval, Hosahalli, Bengaluru South Taluk measuring 20 x 30 feet the government notification bearing No. HUD535KHB91 and vide order of the Deputy Commissioner No.RHS/GR/214/1991-92 17.06.1992 as per RTC Annexure-AI.*
- b. Issue appropriate Writ directing the Respondents to consider the representations given by this petitioner for issuing Hakku Patra under "ASHRYA SCHEME" as per representations Annexure-Fri at on-16-9-19, Fa dt on 25-9-19, F3 dt on 29-01-2020, Fy dt one 31-01-3033, Fs dt on-01-8-2003, Fo'dt on 02-01-25 F&F dt on-11-11-24 & Fy de on-28-11-2024. c. And further be pleased to pass such other order/directions in the circumstances of the present case.*

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the allotment has been made by the respondents of a plot in Survey No.2 of Uttarhalli Manarvarthe Kaval Village, Uttarhalli Hobli, Bangalore South Taluk, measuring 20x30 feet in the year 1992, and that the petitioner is in occupation thereof. The Hakku Patra has not been issued



to the petitioner, and without considering the representations submitted at Annexure-F series on 16.09.2019, 25.09.2019, 29.01.2020, 21.01.2023, 01.08.2023, 02.01.2025, 11.11.2024 and 28.11.2024, the respondents are proposing to evict the petitioner from the aforesaid property.

3. Whenever any representation is submitted by a citizen, it is required for the respondents to consider the same, either accepting or rejecting the representation.
4. Needless to say, in either case, to be accompanied by the reasons. In the present case, nearly nine representations have been submitted from 2019 to 2025, none of them have been answered by the respondents. As such, I pass the following:

ORDER

- i) Writ petition is partly ***allowed***.
- ii) Respondents are directed to consider the representations at Annexure-F series within a period 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



- iii) Needless to say, until orders are passed, the respondents are restrained from taking any coercive steps against the petitioner.
- iv) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement of the petitioner.

SD/-
(SURAJ GOVINDARAJ)
JUDGE

GJM
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 42