



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU
DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026
BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY
CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 8482 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1. SHARATH KUMAR R.M
S/OMANJUNATHA RAYPUR
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS.
2. DARSHAN R.M
S/O MANJUANATHA RAYPUR
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS.
3. MANJNATH RAYPUR
S/O GURUSIDDAPPA RAYAPUR
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS.
4. PREMA
W/O MANJUANATHA RAYPUR
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS.
5. VIJYA KUMAR.R
S/O THIPPESHAPAP
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS.

THE PETITIONER NO.1 TO 5 ARE
R/AT HANGAWADI VILLAGE
HARIHARA TALUK
DAVANGERE DISTRICT
KARNATAKA - 577 601.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. NIKHITHA, ADV.)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY DAVANAGERE WOMEN P.S
REP BY SPP, HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BENGALURU - 560 001.





2. SMT REKHA
W/O SHARATH KUMAR R.M
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS
R/AT NO 679/38
GAGANA JYOTHI NILAYA
SS HI TECH BADAVANE
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT
KARNATAKA - 577 601.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI CHANNAPPA ERAPPA, HCGP FOR R-1;
SRI NATARAJU T, ADV., FOR R-2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.PC (FILED U/S 528 BNSS) BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO QUASH THE CHARGSHEET FILED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 POLICE I.E., DAVANAGERE WOMENS POLICE STATION IN CC.NO.1194/2025 FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S. 506, 504, 498-A, 323, 114, 149 OF IPC AGAINST THE PETITIONERS ARISING OUT OF CRIME NO.55/2024 FOR THE OFFENCES P/U/S. 506, 498-A, 504, 323, 114 AND 34 OF IPC, PENDING ON THE FILE OF 2ND ADDL. CIVIL JUDGE AND (SR.DN.) AND JMFC COURT DAVANAGERE DISTRICT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S VISHWAJITH SHETTY

ORAL ORDER

1. Accused Nos.1 to5 are before this Court in this criminal petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, with a prayer to quash the entire proceedings in C.C.No.1194/2025 pending before the Court II Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Dn) and JMFC, Davanagere arising out of Crime No.55/2024 registered by the Davanagere Women Police Station for the offence punishable



under Section 504, 506, 498-A, 323, 114 R/w Section 34 of IPC.

2. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that, the dispute between the parties has been *interse* settled amicably at the intervention of elders and well-wishers of both the parties. Petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 have decided to live separately and their marriage is also now dissolved by a decree of divorce passed in M.C.No.72/2025. The parties intend to give a quietus to all the dispute amongst them and live peacefully in future. They submit that, parties have filed an application under Section 320 R/w Section 482 of Cr.P.C, which is supported by joint affidavit of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2. Accordingly, they pray to allow the petition.

3. The application filed by the parties under Section 320 R/w Section 482 of Cr.P.C, which is supported by the joint affidavit of petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 is taken on record. In the joint affidavit at paragraph nos.4 to 6 it is stated as follows :-



"4. We state that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 was married on 21.2.2024 at sub registrar office, Davangere. After their marriage, the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 were residing in the matrimonial house of petitioners. From the beginning of their marriage the relationship between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 began to strain. Due to incompatibility, differences of opinion, there occurred martial disharmony and disorder between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2. Hence, the relationship between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 become strained and estranged. Therefore the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 could not continue their matrimonial relationship and started living separately and all the efforts made by elders, relatives, friends, and well-wishers of both families for reconciliation has failed. Wherefore we have sought for quashing the proceedings.

5. We state that now myself and my wife and our family has settled the matter by paying a sum of Rs.7,00,000/- as permanent alimony and also obtained a decree of divorce in M.C No.72/2025. Further we stated the Respondent No.2 has withdrawn all the cases filed against the petitioners before various courts.

6. We state that, in view of the settlement, the respondent no.2 do. Not intend to intend to prosecute the petitioners. Hence, the criminal proceedings pending against the petitioners before this Hon'ble court may be quashed."



4. The parties are all relatives. It is submitted that settlement arrived between the parties is without there being undue influence and coercion. The parties who are present before this Court are identified by their respective advocates.

5. In view of settlement arrived between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 and also taking into consideration that their marriage is now dissolved by a decree of divorce passed in M.C..No.72/2025, I am of the opinion that, the prayer made in the petition needs to be granted.

6. Accordingly, criminal petition is allowed.

The entire proceedings in C.C.No.1194/2025 pending before the Court II Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Dn) and JMFC, Davanagere arising out of Crime No.55/2024 registered by the Davanagere Women Police Station for the offence punishable under Section 504, 506, 498-A, 323, 114 R/w Section 34 of IPC is quashed.

Sd/-
(S VISHWAJITH SHETTY)
JUDGE