



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF MARCH, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO.5532 OF 2019 (FC)

BETWEEN:

SRI. MANJU R,
S/O RANGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
R/AT DOOR NO.243, KEMPEGOWDA COMPLEX,
9TH CROSS, 1ST STAGE, HEBBAL
SHIVANANDA STORE ROAD,
MYSURU 570 016.

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI P.NATARAJU, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SMT. HEMA U,
W/O MANJU R,
D/O UGREGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
R/AT DOOR NO.243, KEMPEGOWDA COMPLEX,
9TH CROSS, 1ST STAGE, HEBBAL,
SHIVANANDA STORE ROAD,
MYSURU-570 016.

...RESPONDENT

(SERVED -UNREPRESENTED)

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 19(1) OF FAMILY COURT ACT, PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 14.03.2019 PASSED IN M.C.NO.235/2018 ON THE FILE OF THE I ADDITIONAL PRINCIPAL JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, MYSURU, DISMISSING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SECTION 13(1)(i-a) OF THE HINDU MARRIAGE ACT.

THIS MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL COMING ON FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:





CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

This matter is listed for dismissal in view of the fact that when the matter was previously listed on 02.03.2026, no one appeared for the appellant despite the matter being called out twice.

2. Today, learned Counsel for the appellant is present who states that a memo for retirement has been filed by him on 12.01.2026. Such a memo cannot be allowed at this stage given the fact that the appellant is not responding as is reflected in the memo itself.

3. In view of the aforesaid, we dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution inasmuch as the learned Counsel for the appellant has no instructions in the matter.

**Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE**

**Sd/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE**