



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH, 2025

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

WRIT PETITION NO.14631 OF 2020 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. MS. R. SHILPA
AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,
D/O SRI N RAMASWAMY
RESIDENT OF NO.190
2ND MAIN, GANGANAGAR
BENGALURU-560 032.
2. MR. R PRITHVI
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
S/O SRI N RAMASWAMY
RESIDENT OF NO.190
2ND MAIN, GANGANAGAR
BENGALURU-560 032.

...PETITIONERS

[BY SRI MUKKANNAPPA S.B., ADVOCATE (ABSENT)]

AND:

1. SRI N RAMASWAMY
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
S/O SRI NARAYANAPPA
RESIDENT OF NO.190
2ND MAIN, GANGANAGAR
BENGALURU-560 032.





2. MS. R KAVITHA
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
S/O SRI N RAMASWAMY
RESIDENT OF NO.190
2ND MAIN, GANGANAGAR
BENGALURU-560 032.
3. MR. LINDSEY KEITH ANTHONY KING
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
S/O MR. ARNOLD KING
RESIDENT OF NO.150
DENNIS VILLA
ULLASAPPA ROAD,
KAMANAHALLI
ST. THOMAS TOWN POST
BENGALURU-560 084.
4. SMT. NANJAMMA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
W/O LATE MUNIRAMAIAH,
5. SRI RAMACHANDRA
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
S/O LATE MUNIRAMAIAH
6. SRI NAGARAJA
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
S/O LATE MUNIRAMAIAH

RESPONDENT NOS.4 TO 6 ARE
R/AT BIJAWARA VILLAGE,
VIJAYAPURA HOBLI
DEVANAHALLI TALUK
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT-562 110.



7. SMT. ANANDAMMA
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
W/O NARAYANSWAMY,
D/O LATE MUNIRAMAIAH
R/AT BYAPPANAHALLI VILLAGE,
SHIDDLAGHATTA TALUK
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT-562 101.
8. SMT. RATHNAMMA
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
W/O PILLAPPA
D/O LATE MUNIRAMAIAH
R/AT HOSUR VILLAGE
NANDI HOBLI
CHIKKABALLAPURA TALUK
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT-562 101.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI K SHIVASHANKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R.3;
SRI KHALAQ AHAMED SHARIFF, ADVOCATE FOR R.4 TO R.7;
R.1: SERVED;
R.2: SERVED;
R.8: SERVICE OF NOTICE IS HELD SUFFICIENT VIDE COURT
ORDER DATED 10.03.2025.)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 13.12.2019 PASSED BY CIVIL JUDGE (SR.DVN) AND JMFC AT DEVANAHALLI ON I.A.NO.7 FILED BY THE PETITIONERS IN O.S.NO.1934/2006 VIDE ANNEXURE-H TO THE WRIT PETITION AND CONSEQUENTLY ALLOW THE APPLICATION FILED BY THE PETITIONER, ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING/VIDEO CONFERENCING THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.I.ARUN

ORAL ORDER

None appears for the petitioners even when the matter is called out for the second time today. The writ petition is of the year 2020. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the writ petition. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

**Sd/-
(M.I.ARUN)
JUDGE**

hkh.
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 74