



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 25<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MARCH, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE K.MANMADHA RAO**

**REV.PET FAMILY COURT NO.185 OF 2022**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI. IMRAN A BHAGVALE  
S/O ANWAR BHAGVALE  
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS  
BUSINESSMAN  
PRESENTLY, R/O VIDYANAGAR IIND CROSS  
SHIVAMOGGA -577 203.  
DISTRICT SHIVAMOGGA

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. KAZI SALIM HASHAM SAHEB.,ADVOCATE (ABSENT))

**AND:**

SMT NASEEMA  
@ FOUZIYA KHAN  
W/O IMRAN A BHAGVALE  
AND D/O RAOF KHAN  
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,  
HOUSE WIFE  
R/O INDRA NAGAR  
1<sup>ST</sup> CROSS SULEBYLU  
SHIVAMOGGA -577 203.

...RESPONDENT

(BY SMT. NAZEEMA - RESPONDENT SERVED AND  
UNREPRESENTED)

THIS RPFC IS FILED U/S.19(4) OF THE FAMILY COURTS  
ACT., AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED  
19.11.2018 PASSED IN CrI.MISC.NO.130/2018 ON THE FILE  
OF THE PRL. JUDGE, FAMILY COURT, SHIVAMOGGA.  
ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED U/S.125 OF Cr.P.C FOR  
MAINTENANCE.





THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,  
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE K.MANMADHA RAO

**ORAL ORDER**

None appears for the petitioner and the respondent.

2. On perusal of the order sheet, it is observed that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was ordered to be listed for 'dismissal' and on several occasions the matter was adjourned. Today also there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the matter. Hence, this petition is ***dismissed for non-prosecution.***

**SD/-  
(DR.K.MANMADHA RAO)  
JUDGE**