



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

COMMERCIAL APPEAL NO. 356 OF 2025

BETWEEN:

1. MR. SHAILESH VAGHASIA
PROPRIETOR
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
M/S DIVYA MONO FILMENTS YARN
10-11-12, ARADHANA INDUSTRIAL ESTATE
PART-3 JOLVA VILLAGE
TA PALASANA DISTRICT
SURAT, GUJARATH - 394 327

...APPELLANT

(BY SRI SHANTH KUMAR S.P., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. M/S SUNLARGE INDUSTRIES PVT LTD
OFFICE AT PLOT NO.282/1/C
BOMMASANDRA JIGANI LINK ROAD
KIADB INDUSTRIAL AREA
OPPOSITE HCL TECHNOLOGIES LTD.
BENGALURU - 560 105
REP. BY AUTHORISED PERSON
SRI SHIVANANDA PAI K

...RESPONDENT

THIS COMMERCIAL APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 13 (1A) OF THE COMMERCIAL COURTS ACT, 2015 READ WITH SECTION 96 AND ORDER XLI OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 1908 PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT DATED 25.09.2024





PASSED IN COM.O.S. NO.142/2023 ON THE FILE OF HON'BLE X
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE (DEDICATED
COMMERCIAL COURT) BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,
BENGALURU AT ANNEXURE-A & ETC.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. VIBHU BAKHRU, CHIEF JUSTICE)

1. None appears for the appellant. The office objections have also not been complied with, despite sufficient opportunity.
2. The appeal is dismissed in default.
3. The pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

**Sd/-
(VIBHU BAKHRU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE**